

19 CS DJ 975/17

SOM DUTT SHARMA Vs. RUKMANI DEVI

06.05.2025

Present: Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Ld. Counsel for defendant.
Sh. Rahul Sabharwal, Ld. Local Commissioner (through VC).

An application has been filed under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC on behalf of defendant. Copy already supplied. Reply to the same filed. Copy supplied.

2. Argument on the said application heard.

3. It is argued that plaintiff has not valued the suit property properly for the purpose of court fee as he has sought the relief of half share of the suit property. However, same is disputed by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff. In view of the aforesaid submissions, an additional issue is framed as follows:

(i) Whether the plaintiff is liable to pay additional court fee on account of claiming the relief of half share?

4. It is stated by the counsel for the defendant that some issues cropped up between the parties and Ld. LC with regard to fixing date and time for recording of evidence. Heard. With the consent of parties, Sh. Rahul Sabharwal, Ld. LC has been discharged from this case. However,

the defendants are directed to pay previous charges of Rs.5,000 to Ld. LC within 2 days on his mobile number, failing which further cost may be imposed. Report of the previous LC be filed on record.

5. Vide my separate order, a new Local Commissioner is appointed for recording of further evidence.

6. Put up for recording of evidence before Ld. LC on **02.06.2025 at 2 PM** in the court room of undersigned.

(Naresh Kumar Laka)
DJ-07, Central, THC
06.05.2025