

IN THE COURT OF THE I ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MUNSIF, TIRUNELVELI

Present : **Tmt.R.L.Priyadharsini, B.A., B.L.,**  
 II Additional District Munsif,  
 I Additional District Munsif(FAC),  
 Tirunelveli.

DATED THIS THE 13 DAY OF FEBRUARY 2026 FRIDAY

I.A.No 9/2026 in RCOP.No.50/2016

Sivakasi Hindu Nadars Pothu Abiviruthi  
 Sangam through its secretary

...Petitioner/ Petitioner

// versus //

R.Sundaravel

...Respondent/Respondent

This petition is coming before me on 12.02.2026 for final hearing in the presence Advocate Thiru.R.Ramakrishnan, Learned Counsel for the petitioner Advocate Learned counsel for the respondent Thiru.M.Sivasubhramanian and hearing both side arguments, this court made the following.

### **ORDER**

1. The Petition has been filed by the petitioner to permit the petitioners to amend the main petition as per the particulars of amendment.

2. The petition has stated that the petitioners name is mentioned in the cause title as “Sivakasi Hindu Nadar pothu Abhiviruthi Sangam”. But the correct name of the petitioner society is “Tirunelveli Sivakasi Hindu Nadar pothu Abhiviruthi Sangam” as seen from Ex.P1 and P2. The petitioner has further stated that this omission was only a clerical one and the same is now sought to be corrected to avoid any technical objection.

3. The Respondents strongly opposed the petition stating that the respondent already mentioned the mistake in the counter itself. But after one decade at the stage of further Respondent side evidence the petitioner has filed this petition only to fill up

the lacuna. The proposed amendment is time barred one and the same cannot be legally allowed.

4. Heard Bothside records perused.

5. After careful consideration of the counter filed by the respondent in the original petition , it is came to know that the respondent has stated omission of the word “Tirunelveli” in the counter itself. But the petitioner did not take any steps to amend the petition immediately. The petitioner filed this petition to amend the petition as per the counter of the respondent. The respondent admitted that the name of the petitioner is “Tirunelveli Sivakasi Hindu Nadar pothu Abhiviruthi Sangam”. The proposed amendment is a clerical ommission.

6. Therefore this court is statisfied that the proposed amendment is of a formal nature and does not change the cause of action or character of the main petition.

7. In the interest of Justice, this court deems it appropriate to allow the petition on payment of costs so as to avoid any technical objection that may be raised in future.

8. In the result this petition allowed on payment of cost of Rs.700 to the respondent on or before 16.2.2026.

Dictated to the typist and typed by his directly in the computer and corrected and pronounced by me in the open court this the 13<sup>th</sup> day of February 2026.

I Additional District Munsif(FAC),  
Tirunelveli.

I A.D.M. Court,Tirunelveli,  
I.A.No.9/2026 in  
RCOP.No.50/2016  
Draft Order  
Dated: 13.02.2026.