

In the Court of Sessions, Tirunelveli Division
Before the Principal Sessions Judge, Tirunelveli.
PRESENT : **Thiru.N.VENKATAVARADAN, B.L.,**
PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUNELVELI

Tuesday, the 23rd day of June 2026.

Cr.M.P.No.2708/2026

(CNR No.TNTL 0100 5116 2026)

Pichaikannu @ Kannan, S/o.Athimoolam.

...Petitioner/Accused.

/Versus /

State: The Inspector of Police,
Manimuthar P.S
Cr.No.151/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant.

Petition dated:19.06.2026 U/s. 482 of B.N.S.S. through e-filing prays to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of THIRU.M.RAMANATHAN, Advocate for the petitioner and THIRU.K.SUBRAMANIAN, the Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following :

ORDER

The Petitioner/accused seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offences under Sections.49,126(2),296(b),118(1),351(3) of BNS Act, registered by the respondent police.

The petitioner states that the Respondent police apprehends to arrest the petitioner for the alleged offences under Sections 49, 126(2), 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS Act in Cr.No.151/2026. The Petitioner further states he is innocent. The alleged occurrence is said to have been taken place on 27.04.2026, but the same was reported on 29.04.2026. The petitioner further states, he is already released on anticipatory bail by this court in CrI.M.P.No.1929/2026 dated: 13.05.2026. The Petitioner also states that, due to unavoidable circumstance, he is not able to produce sureties within the stipulated time and hence the earlier order stood cancelled. The petitioner further states that he is innocent and ready to abide any condition and therefore seeks to enlarge him on anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor is also admitted that the petitioner was already enlarged on anticipatory bail and has not raised any objection for this petition.

The point for consideration is: Whether the petitioner is entitled to the relief of Anticipatory Bail as prayed for?

Point:- Heard both sides. The petitioner states that, he was already released on anticipatory bail by this court in CrI.M.P.No.1929/2026 dated: 13.05.2026 and he could not furnish sureties within the stipulated time and therefore again come forward this anticipatory bail. The learned public prosecutor has also admitted the same and has not raised any objections. Under these circumstances, taking into consideration of these facts and circumstances, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions.

- a) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent/police or on his surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ambasamudram within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ambasamudram, **If the petitioner/accused does not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order this Anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically;**
- b) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;
- c) **that the petitioner shall report and sign before the respondent police, daily at 10.00 a.m., for a period of one month** and further respondent police is directed to submit the report, deviations if any committed by the petitioner in observing the above condition. On further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer ;
- d) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;
- e) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
- f) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on

bail by the learned Magistrate/trial court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme court in P.K.Shaji -vs- State of Kerala((2005) AIR SCW 5560);

- g) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s 269 BNS.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of June 2026.

PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
TIRUNELVELI.