

27.01.2026

Present. Ms. Tanya , Ld. Proxy Counsel for complainant,
through VC.

Matter received by way of transfer from Digital Court -01 (NI Act). Physical file received.

Ld. counsel for complainant requests that the judgment of A.C. Narayanan vs. State of Maharashtra (2014)11 SCC790 may be applied in the present matter.

Following the law laid down in **A.C. Narayanan vs. State of Maharashtra (2014)11 SCC790**, complaint, affidavit of evidence and other annexed documents considered. Accordingly, there is no need to examine the complainant for the purpose of issuance of process.

Arguments on summoning heard. I have perused the complaint, evidence affidavit and the documents on record.

The cheque in question was issued in favour of the complainant by the accused towards discharge of his liability. The said cheque was dishonoured on presentation with remarks “**Account Blocked**”. Legal notice was issued and served upon the accused on the last known address available with the complainant in the ordinary post. The grievance of the complainant is that accused has not made the payment against the aforesaid cheque within the stipulated period despite service of legal notice.

In view of the complaints alongwith documents, there are sufficient grounds for proceedings against the accused. Let the accused namely **Chandan Rai** be summoned for the commission of offence under Section 138 of NI Act.

Issue summons to the accused on filing of PF/RC/Speed post, through all modes including e-mail and whatsapp, alongwith copy of complaint and complete set of documents, at all available addresses of accused person/s, returnable on **01.09.2026**. PF befiled within 15 days.

(SURABHI RASTOGI)
JMFC (NI Act)-01
South West- Dwarka
27.01.2026