

In the Court of Sessions, Tirunelveli Division
Before the Principal Sessions Judge, Tirunelveli.
PRESENT : **Thiru.N.VENKATAVARADAN, B.L.,**
PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUNELVELI
Monday, the 22nd day of June 2026.
Cr.M.P.No.2623/2026
(CNR No.TNTL 0100 5014 2026)

Iyappan, S/o.Eswaran.

...Petitioner/Accused.

/Versus /

State: The Inspector of Police,
Papakudi P.S
Cr.No.385/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant.

Petition dated:15.06.2026 filed U/s. 483 of BNSS prays to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of
THIRU.M.ESAKKIMUTHU Advocate for the petitioner and
THIRU.K.SUBRAMANIAN, the Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon
hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following :

ORDER

The petitioners/accused were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
14.06.2026, for the alleged offences under Sections.123 of BNS and 24(1) of COTPA
Act, registered by the respondent police seeks bail.

The petitioner states that the petitioner is charged for the offences under section
123 of BNS and 24(1) of COTPA Act in Cr.No.385/2026 by the Respondent Police.
The Petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offences. He was falsely
implicated in this case. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on
13.06.2026. FIR is pending before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Ambasamudram.
According to the prosecution the petitioner and another jointly possessed the banned
tobacco products of Ganesh 701 tobacco premium and coollip filter tobacco worth
Rs.1176/- and selling the same to the public. But this is a false case. Petitioner is
undergoing judicial custody for the past 09 days. Therefore seeks to enlarge the
petitioner on bail.

The Prosecution field objections stating that on the date of occurrence petitioner along with other accused possessed 17 packets of Ganesh 701 tobacco, 04 coolip tobacco pockets and 8 small coolip filter tobacco and another 7 small coolip filter tobacco packets, in total 39 packets of tobacco products with an intention to sell the same in the public which would cause harm to the public. Thereby pertinent committed the offences. Therefore, prosecution objected to release the petitioner on bail.

The point for consideration is: Whether the petitioner is entitled to the relief of Bail as prayed for?

Point: Heard both sides. The petitioner was alleged to have in possession of banned tobacco products in the motorcycle. This petitioner is Accused No.2 in this case. It is alleged by the prosecution that the petitioner and other accused found to be arrested on the same day. This Court finds prima-facie as against the petitioner. Further petitioner is in judicial custody only for the past 09 days. Under these circumstances, this Court upon considering the serious nature of offence of this case, the detention period of the petitioner and investigation is still pending, is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and this petition is liable to be dismissed.

In the result, this bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 22nd day of June 2026.

PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
TIRUNELVELI.

Copy To:-

The Judicial Magistrate, Ambasamudram.

The Public Prosecutor, Tirunelveli.

The Inspector of Police, Papakudi P.S

The Counsel for petitioner.