

In the Court of Sessions, Tirunelveli Division
Before the Principal Sessions Judge, Tirunelveli.
PRESENT : **Thiru.N.VENKATAVARADAN, B.L.,**
PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUNELVELI

Wednesday, the 24th day of June 2026.

Cr.M.P.No.2604/2026

(CNR No.TNTL 0100 4853 2026)

- 1.Hameedha Meera Sakip, W/o. Meera Sakip.
2. Fathimarazana Meera Sakip, D/o. Meera Sakip.
- 3.Sabeenabanu Meera Sakip, D/o. Meera Sakip.

...Petitioners/Accused.

Vs.

State: The Inspector of Police,
Tirunelveli(Rural) A.W.P.S.,
Cr.No.12/2026

...Respondent/Complainant.

Petition dated :09.06.2026 U/s. 482 B.N.S.S through e-filing prays to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of THIRU.B.VIVEK SHANKAR, Advocate for the petitioners and THIRU.K.SUBRAMANIAN, the Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing both sides arguments, this court passed the following:

ORDER

The Petitioners/accused seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offences under Section.318(2),316(2),85 of BNS and 4 of TNPWH Act, registered by the respondent police.

The petitioners state that the petitioners apprehends, arrest, torture and harassment at the hands of the respondent for the offences under section 318(2),316(2),85 of BNS and 4 of TNPWH Act in Cr.No.12/2026. The Petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offences. Petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place from 12.02.2025 to 01.08.2025. As per the allegations in the FIR, defacto-complainant already filed Domestic violence complaint against them and the same was pending before the Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila Court Tirunelveli. Further petitioners filed quash proceedings against the D.V. complaint and the same was

pending before the Hon'ble High Court, Madurai Bench. Petitioners have not committed any such offence as alleged. Therefore seeks to enlarge them on anticipatory bail.

The Respondent police filed objections stating that defacto-complainant is the wife of Accused No.1 and petitioners who are Accused No.2 to 4 are the in-laws of the defacto-complainant. Accused No.1 is working at abroad and all the accused caused harassment to the defacto-complainant, since prima-facie materials placed against these petitioners, respondent police objected to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Further these petitioners are absconding and charge-sheet was also filed by referring that the accused are absconding. Therefore if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they will not co-operate in the trial.

The point for consideration is: Whether the petitioner is entitled to the relief of anticipatory Bail as prayed for?

Point: Heard both sides. Petitioners stated that they have not committed any such offence as alleged in the FIR. Prosecution confirms that charge sheet filed before the concerned Judicial Magistrate Court. It is a case of harassment caused to the defacto-complainant by demanding dowry and also compelled her to do domestic work, thereby caused cruelty. Under these circumstances, this Court taking into consideration of the matrimonial nature of allegations, charge sheet filed in this case and other circumstances, is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions.

- a) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent/police or on their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila Court Tirunelveli; within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate Additional Mahila Court Tirunelveli; **If the petitioners/accused does not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order this Anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically;**
- b) that the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left

Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;

- c) **that the petitioners shall report and sign before the respondent police daily at 10.00 a.m., for the period of 15 days** and further respondent police is directed to submit the report, deviations if any committed by the petitioners in observing the above condition. On further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer ;
- d) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;
- e) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
- f) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/trial court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme court in P.K.Shaji -vs- State of Kerala ((2005) AIR SCW 5560);
- g) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 24th day of June 2026.

PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
TIRUNELVELI.