

In the Court of Sessions, Tirunelveli Division
Before the Principal Sessions Judge, Tirunelveli.
PRESENT : **Thiru.N.VENKATAVARADAN, B.L.,**
PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUNELVELI
Thursday, the 11th day of June 2026.
Cr.M.P.No.2387/2026
(CNR No.TNTL 0100 4650 2026)

1.Murugan, S/o. Chellaiah.
2. Parvathi, W/o. Murugan

...Petitioners/Accused.

Vs.

State: The Sub Inspector of Police,
Mukkudal P.S.,
Cr.No.175/2026

...Respondent/Complainant.

Petition dated :03.06.2026 U/s. 482 B.N.S.S through e-filing prays to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of THIRU.M.DHARMAR, Advocate for the petitioners and THIRU.K.SUBRAMANIAN, the Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing both sides arguments, this court passed the following:

ORDER

The Petitioners/accused seeks anticipatory bail for the alleged offences under Section.296(b),115(2),118(1),351(3) of BNS and 4 of TNPWH Act, registered by the respondent police.

The petitioners states that the Respondent police apprehends to arrest the petitioners for the alleged offences under Sections 296(b),115(2),118(1),351(3) of BNS and 4 of TNPWH Act in Cr.No.175/2026. The Petitioners further states that they have been falsely implicated in this case. The alleged occurrence is said to have been taken place on 04.04.2026. The petitioners further states, they are already released on anticipatory bail by this court in CrI.M.P.No.1657/2026 dated 22.04.2026. Due to unavoidable circumstances, the petitioners were unable to produce sureties within the stipulated time and hence the earlier order stood cancelled. The petitioners states that they are innocent and ready to abide any condition and therefore seeks to

enlarge them on anticipatory bail.

The Respondent filed objections stating that, due to family dispute family disputes between the parties, petitioners abused the defacto complainant and her daughter by using filthy language and assaulted them with stones and scissors caused injuries and also criminally intimidated, hence the case was registered and therefore objected to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

The point for consideration is: Whether the petitioners are entitled to the relief of Anticipatory Bail as prayed for?

Point: - Heard both sides. The petitioners who have been using filthy language against the defacto complainant and her daughter, caused injuries to them and criminally intimidated have come forward this anticipatory bail stating that they are innocent. The petitioners also states that earlier they were already released on anticipatory bail and they could not furnish sureties within the stipulated time and therefore again come forward this anticipatory bail, taking into consideration of these facts and circumstances this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions.

- a) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent/police or on their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate Cheranmahadevi; within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi; **If the petitioners/accused are not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order this Anticipatory bail shall stands cancelled automatically;**
- b) that the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar Card or Bank Pass Book to ensure their identity;
- c) **that the petitioners shall report and sign before the respondent police station daily at 10.00 a.m., for the period of 15 days** and further respondent police is directed to submit the report, deviations if any committed by the petitioners in observing the above condition. On further condition that they

shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer ;

- d) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;
- e) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;
- f) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/trial court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme court in P.K.Shaji -vs- State of Kerala ((2005) AIR SCW 5560);
- g) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 11th day of June 2026.

PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
TIRUNELVELI.