

In the Court of Sessions, Tirunelveli Division
Before the Principal Sessions Judge, Tirunelveli.
PRESENT : **Thiru.N.VENKATAVARADAN, B.L.,**
PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUNELVELI
Thursday, the 11th day of June 2026.
Cr.M.P.No.2408/2026
(CNR No.TNTL 0100 4638 2026)

Premkumar @ Thangapandi, S/o.Esakkipandian.

...Petitioner/Accused.

/Versus /

State: The Inspector of Police,
Cheranmahadevi P.S
Cr.No.283/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant.

Petition dated:03.06.2026 filed U/s. 483 of BNSS prays to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of THIRU.A.KANNAN, Advocate for the petitioner and THIRU.K.SUBRAMANIAN, the Public Prosecutor for the respondent and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following :

ORDER

The petitioner/accused was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.05.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections.3 of ES Act and 4 of TNPPDL,296(b),351(3) BNS Act, registered by respondent police, seeks bail.

The petitioner states that he has been falsely implicated in this case for the alleged offences u /s 3 of ES Act and 4 of TNPPDL,296(b),351(3) BNS Act. The petitioner further states that he has not committed any offence. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken place on 11.05.2026 at about 22.00 hours and the same was reported on the same day at 22.30 hours. As per the FIR allegations, on 11.05.2026 in front of the house of the defacto-complainant, Accused No.1 and 2 thrown petrol bomb, caused damages and they have also used abusive words and criminally intimidated the defacto-complainant. But this petitioner is an innocent and he has not involved in any offences as alleged. He is undergoing incarceration for the past 31 days. Therefore seeks to enlarge him on bail.

The Prosecution filed objection stating about the occurrence. Further prosecution states that petitioner is Accused No.2 in this case. As per the FIR petitioner alongwith Accused No.1 thrown petrol bomb on the house of the defacto-complainant and damaged the house door and criminally intimidated the defacto-complainant and his family members. Both parties belongs to one and the same village and there is a pre-existed enmity between them and if the petitioner is released on bail, he will indulge in same offences and will abscond which will not be feasible to secure his presence. Therefore objected to release the petitioner on bail.

The point for consideration is: Whether the petitioner is entitled to the relief of Bail as prayed for?

Point: Heard both sides. The petitioner has been charged for the allegations of throwing petrol bomb into the house of the defacto-complainant, causing of damages and also for criminal intimidation. Though the petitioner claims that he is an innocent, prosecution apprehends that since both parties are having pre-existed enmity, if the petitioner is released on bail, he will indulge in same type of offence and therefore respondent police seriously objected the petition. In these circumstances, the alleged involvement of the petitioner stated by the prosecution, though the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 31 days, there are two more cases surrounding this incident, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and this petition is liable to be dismissed.

In the result, this bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 11th day of June 2026.

PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
TIRUNELVELI.

Copy To:-

The Judicial Magistrate, Cheranmahadevi .

The Public Prosecutor, Tirunelveli.

The Inspector of Police, Cheranmahadevi P.S

The Counsel for petitioner.