

CR: 479/2022

Lalita @ Sony v/s State & Ors.

17.02.2023

Present: Petitioner in person along with ld. Counsel

Sh. Bhisham Singh.

Sh. Aditya Kumar, ld. Addl. PP for State/
respondent no. 1.

Sh. R.K.Bachan, ld. Legal Aid Counsel for
respondent no. 2.

Sh. Ravinder Kumar, ld. Legal Aid Counsel for
respondent no. 3.

Sh. Prince Sharma, ld. Counsel has appeared on
behalf of respondent no. 4 and has filed his
vakalatnama on his behalf

Sh. Vinay Sharma, ld. Counsel has appeared on
behalf of respondent no. 5.

Reply to this petition has been filed by respondent
no. 3 and same is taken on record. Copy of the same supplied to
ld. Counsel for petitioner.

Arguments advanced by ld. Counsel for appellant
and in rebuttal by ld. Counsel(s) for all respondents heard at
length.

Vide my separate order passed and announced in the
open court, the revision petition preferred by the petitioner/
revisionist is dismissed being devoid of any merits. However,
keeping in view the fact that petitioner is a lady, I am

: 2 :

refraining myself from imposing exemplary cost upon her for wasting the precious judicial time of the Court.

Revision petition be consigned to record room after compliance of necessary legal formalities.

(Lokesh Kumar Sharma)
ASJ (SFTC) Dwarka Courts
17.02.2023