

**IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE,
THANJAVUR.**

**Present :Thiru. P.Nagarajan, M.A.,M.L.,
II Additional District & Sessions Judge, Thanjavur.**

**Thursday the 16th day of October 2025.
(Thiruvalluvar Andu 2056 Purattasi Matham 30th day)**

E.P 625/2024 in A.R.C.No.227/2022

M/s. Sri Gokulam Chits Finance Company (P) Ltd., Chennai.
Rep by its Foreman.

.....Petitioner/Decree Holder

/Vs/

(1) N.S.Elavarman,
(2) S.Santhi Sundar
(3) G.N. Sundarapandian

.....Respondents /Judgment Debtor

This petition having come up for final hearing before me on 18.9.2025 in the presence of **Thiru.K.M.Vinothkumar**, Advocate for the Petitioner and the respondents called absent, remained exparte and upon hearing the argument of petitioner side, upon perusal of the entire material records pertaining to the case and the matter having stood over for consideration till this date, this court delivered the following

ORDER

(1) The Petitioner filed this petition under order 21 Rule 54,66 and Sec.151 of C.P.C. to direct the respondents to pay Rs.47,76,259.50 and from attach and sale of immovables of 2 & 3rd respondents/Judgment Debtors 2& 3 mentioned in this petition and the attached immovables may be sold in public auction and the amount realized may be given to the petitioner.

2) The Gist of the affidavit filed by the petitioner:

The petitioner/decree holder got a decree in A.R.C.No.227/2022 against the

respondents 2 & 3 on 13.07.2023. The petitioner filed the execution petition against the respondents to recover decree amount in Case No.227/2022 and the petitioner company hereby authorized to act as foreman to appear and conduct in all court proceedings on behalf of company. Unless permitting this petition the petitioner company will be put to irreparable loss and hardship. Hence, prayed to allow this petition.

3) Eventhough newspaper publication, the defendants R1 to R3 did not appear in the court and there was no representation and called exparte.

4) Heard and perused the records. On perusal it is found that after passing of decree and even after filing of this execution petition, the petitioner is awaited for the payment for the respondent. Even then the respondents not repay any amount to the petitioner. Hence it is just and necessary to proceeds against the property of the respondent. Attachment sale is necessary. Attachment and sale of property order. Attachment batta in a week. Call on 17.11.2025.

Dictated to the Steno-Typist by me, transcribed by her in computer, corrected and pronounced by me in open court, this the **16th day of October 2025.**

**II Additional District & Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.**

**Petitioner and Respondents side
exhibits and witnesses: Nil**

**II Additional District & Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.**