

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
THANJAVUR.

PRESENT: Thiru.K.H.Elavazhagan, B.Sc., M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge, Thanjavur.

Tuesday, the 7th day of July 2026.

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.3948/2026
CNR No: TNTJ01-0056582026

1.Kamala,
W/o. Karuppaiya

2.Shanthi,
D/o.Ramalingam

3. Manimaran,
S/o. Ramalingam

4.Ragunathan,
S/o.Ramalingam

... Petitioners / Accused

/ Vs /

The State of Tamilnadu represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thanjavur Taluk Police Station,
Cr.No.258/2026

... Respondent / Complainant

This is a petition filed by the petitioners u/s.482 of BNSS., seeking
Anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on for final hearing before me today in the presence of
Thiru.C.Muthukumar, advocate for the petitioners and of Thiru.R.Madialagan, Public
Prosecutor appearing for the State and upon hearing the arguments of both sides and
having stood over for consideration till this date, this Court has passed the following

...

ORDER

Heard both sides.

The petitioners/accused are seeking anticipatory bail for the offences alleged to have been committed by them u/s. 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act concerned in Cr.No.258/2026 of Thanjavur Taluk P.S.

The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused submits that they have not committed any offences as alleged in the petition and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Counter case has been registered in Cr.No.257/2026. The petitioners are apprehending arrest in the hands of the respondent and prays to release them on anticipatory bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submits that there are totally eight accused in this case and the petitioners are the 1st, 5th, 6th and 7th accused. He states that on 15.06.2026, at about 10.00 AM, on account of previous enmity, the accused persons scolded the defacto-complainant Nandhini in filthy language, assaulted the defacto-complainant and her sister with knife and caused injuries to them and criminally intimidated them. The injured persons have been discharged from the hospital. The petitioners have no previous case. Investigation is still pending. These accused are required for interrogation. Hence, he strongly objected to release them on anticipatory bail.

It is seen that the accused persons scolded the defacto-complainant Nandhini in filthy language, assaulted the defacto-complainant and her sister with knife and caused injuries to them and criminally intimidated them. The injured has been discharged from the hospital. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. A case in counter was registered in Cr.No.257/2026.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence, the injured has been discharged from the hospital and no previous case is

pending against the petitioners and counter case has been registered in Cr.No.257/2026, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

In the result, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest on their executing bonds for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand)each with two sureties each for the like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Jurisdictional Magistrate on further condition that

(a) The petitioners should surrender before the learned Jurisdictional Magistrate within 15 days from the date of the order, failing which the anticipatory bail granted to them shall stand cancelled.

(b) the petitioners shall sign before the respondent/police daily at 10.00 a.m., and 6.00 p.m., for 15 days.,

(c) they shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial,

(d) they shall not abscond either during investigation or trial,

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

(f) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS Act.

Accordingly, this petition is allowed on conditions.

Pronounced by me in Open Court on this the 7th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.

To:

The petitioners through counsel.

The Judicial Magistrate, Additional Mahila Court, Thanjavur.

Respondent through Public Prosecutor.