

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
THANJAVUR.

PRESENT: Thiru.K.H.Elavazhagan, B.Sc., M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge, Thanjavur.

Wednesday, the 8th day of July 2026.

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.3944/2026

CNR No: TNTJ01-0056262026

1. Anandu,
S/o. Sunilkumar

2. Sajith Sahul,
S/o.Saval Hameed

....Petitioners/ Accused

/ Vs /

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Cyber Crime Division No.III,
Cr.No.59/2026

... Respondent / Complainant

This is a petition filed by the petitioners u/s.483 of BNSS., seeking bail.

This petition coming on for final hearing before me today in the presence of Thiru.P.Sambamurthy, advocate for the petitioners and of Thiru.R.Madialagan, Public Prosecutor appearing for the State and upon hearing the arguments of both sides and having stood over for consideration till this date, this Court has passed the following ...

ORDER

Heard both sides.

The petitioners/accused are seeking bail for the offences alleged to have been committed by them u/s 316(2), 318(4) of BNS Act concerned in Cr.No.59/2026 of Thanjavur Cyper Crime Division No.III.

The learned counsel for the petitioners/accused submits that in fact these petitioners are no way connected with the alleged offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case. This petitioners are in judicial custody since 12.06.2026. He prays to release them on bail.

The learned Public Prosecutor submits that totally five accused in this case and the petitioners are A4 & A5. He states that the accused, impersonating as police official, had communicated the defacto-complainant through whatsapp about a sim card and bank account opened by using the defacto-complainant's Aadhar card, which were used for committing money laundering and illegal transactions, women harassment and kidnapping offences. The accused threatened the defacto-complainant to pay an amount of Rs.50,00,000/- through online transactions for releasing him from the case and after receiving the said amount from the defacto-complainant, the accused disconnected his communication and cheated him. Further, sixty nine complaints have been received against the accused in respect of Rs.38,00,000/- and the cheated amount is not yet recovered. Investigation is still pending. He states that if the petitioners are released on bail, it would cause prejudice to the further course of the investigation and hence, he objected to release them on bail.

It is seen that the accused had communicated the defacto-complainant through whatsapp about a sim card and bank account opened by using the defacto-complainant's Aadhar card, which were used for committing money laundering and illegal transactions, women harassment and kidnapping offences. The accused threatened the defacto-complainant to pay an amount of Rs.50,00,000/- through online transactions for releasing him from the case and after receiving the said amount from the defacto-complainant, the accused disconnected his communication and cheated him. Further, sixty nine complaints have been received against the accused in respect of Rs.38,00,000/-. The cheated amount is not yet recovered. Investigation is still pending.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the offence and sixty nine complaints are said to have been lodged against the accused and the cheated amount is not yet recovered and investigation is pending, I am of the view that granting bail to this petitioner would cause prejudice to the further course of the investigation and there is every possibility of abscondance and hence, I am not inclined to allow this petition.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court on this the 8th day of July 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.

To:
The Counsel for the petitioners.