

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THANJAVUR.
PRESENT: Tmt. J.Tamilarasi, B.Com.,M.L.,
Sessions Judge,
(Special Courts in the cadre of District Judge for exclusive trial of cases under POCSO
Act,Thanjavur /
Principal Sessions Judge, Thanjavur (FAC)
Monday the 1st day of June, 2026
Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.3297/2026
{CNR No: TNTJ01-0045872026}

1. Ragavendran, S/o.Thillaiyarasan
2. Vijay, S/o. Murukesan,

....Petitioners/ Accused persons

/ versus /

State of Tamil Nadu represented by Inspector of Police
Thirukkattuppalli Police Station,
Cr.No.128/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Sel.R.Nalini, Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.S.Sathiamoorthy, on behalf of the complainant and this court passed the following,

ORDER

This e-petition is filed by the petitioners under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) – 2023, praying for bail.

Heard both sides. Perused the records.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are being charged for offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 79, 324(4), 351(3) of the BNS r/w Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Cr. No. 128/2026 of Thirukkattuppalli Police Station and they have not committed any offences as alleged and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the injured person was discharged from hospital and the petitioners are under judicial custody from 25.05.2026. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition to be imposed by this Court and hence, he seeks bail for the petitioners.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submits that on 24.05.2026, a total of six accused persons including the petitioners herein made a quarrel with the de-facto complainant Sivasankar on account of a temple function dispute and they caused injuries to the de-facto complainant with wooden log. He further submits that this is the counter case to the main registered in Cr. No. 127/2026 and the injured person was discharged from hospital after taking medical treatment of two days, but the investigation is still pending and hence, he opposed to grant bail to the petitioners.

Rival submissions are taken into consideration. Perused the records. There are six accused persons in this case. The occurrence is said to have taken place between the parties on account of temple function dispute. The injured person is said to have been discharged from hospital. This is the counter case to the main case registered in Cr.No.127/2026. The petitioners are under judicial

custody from 25.05.2026. It seems that the material part of investigation would have been almost completed by this time. By considering the above aspects, other facts and circumstances of the case, and period of incarceration, this Court is of the view that the petitioners can be granted bail.

In the result, this Criminal Miscellaneous Petition is allowed with the following conditions:-

- 1) The petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a bond each for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousands) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Jurisdictional Magistrate,
- 2) The petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and also submit a copy of their Aadhaar Card or any other identity card issued by the Government in proof of their identity,
- 3) The petitioners shall appear before the respondent daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 15 days (including holidays) from the next day of their release, without fail and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation,
- 4) The petitioners shall not tamper with the prosecution witnesses and they shall be available for the trial as well. Further, they shall not misuse the liberty granted to them by indulging in any further offence and also not to leave the station either to abroad or other State without permission of this Court,
- 5) On breach of any of the above said conditions, the Jurisdictional Magistrate is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala reported in (2005) AIR SC W 5560 and
- 6) If the petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 1st day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.(FAC)

Copy to:

The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvaiyaru

The Inspector of Police, Thirukkattuppalli P.S.

The Superintendent, Central Prison, Trichy or the concerned Jail Authority where the petitioner is under judicial custody now.

The Counsel for the petitioner.