

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THANJAVUR.
PRESENT: Tmt. J.Tamilarasi, B.Com.,M.L.,
Sessions Judge,
(Special Courts in the cadre of District Judge for exclusive trial of cases under POCSO
Act,Thanjavur /
Principal Sessions Judge, Thanjavur (FAC)
Monday the 1st day of June, 2026
Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.3076/2026
{CNR No: TNTJ01-0043902026}

1. Johnboss, S/o.Arokiyadoss
2. Ebinasar, S/o.Ahashithanatham

....Petitioners/ A1 & A4

/ versus /

State of Tamil Nadu represented by Inspector of Police
Natchiyarkoil Police Station,
Cr.No.343/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.K.S.Alageshwaran, Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.S.Sathiamoorthy, on behalf of the complainant and this court passed the following,

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioners under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) - 2023, praying for anticipatory bail.

Heard both sides. Perused the records.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are being charged for offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 118(1), 324(2), 351(3) of BNS in Cr.No.343/2026 of Natchiyarkoil Police Station and they have not committed any offences as alleged and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the injured person was discharged from hospital and co-accused / A2 & A3 have been let on bail. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition to be imposed by this court and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submits that on 04.05.2026, totally four accused persons including the petitioners herein / A1 & A4 made a quarrell with the de-facto complainant Ramkumar on account of cattle grazing dispute and they caused injuries to the de-facto complainant with knife. He further submits that the injured person was discharged from the hospital after taking medical treatment of five days and co-accused / A2 & A3 have been let on bail by the trial court, but, the investigation is still pending and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Rival submissions are taken into consideration. Perused the records. There are totally four accused persons in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A4. The occurrence is said to have taken place between the parties on account of cattle grazing dispute. The injured person is

said to have been discharged from hospital. Co-accused / A2 & A3 are said to have been let on bail by the Learned Jurisdictional Magistrate. It seems that the material part of investigation would have been almost completed by this time. The only non-bailable offence is Section 118(1) of the BNS. By considering the above aspects and other facts and circumstances of the case, and nature of offences, this court is of the view that the petitioners can be granted anticipatory bail.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is allowed with the following conditions:-

- 1) In the event of arrest or on their appearance before the Jurisdictional Magistrate within 30 days from the date of this order, the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail on their executing a bond each for a sum of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the Jurisdictional Magistrate,
- 2) The petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and also submit a copy of their Aadhaar Card or any other identity card issued by the Government in proof of their identity,
- 3) The petitioners shall appear and sign before the respondent daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of 15 days (including holidays) from the next day of execution of bond before the Jurisdictional Magistrate, without fail and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation,
- 4) If the petitioners fail to surrender before the Jurisdictional Magistrate within 30 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order shall stand cancelled automatically without any further reference to this court,
- 5) The petitioners shall comply with the conditions stipulated under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) - 2023, scrupulously,
- 6) On breach of any of the above said conditions, the Jurisdictional Magistrate is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala reported in (2005) AIR SC W 5560, and
- 7) If the petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of the BNS.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 1st day of June, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.(FAC)

Copy to:
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Kumbakonam
The Inspector of Police, Natchiyarkoil P.S.
The Counsel for the petitioners.