

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THANJAVUR.

PRESENT: Thiru.P.Velmurugan, B.A.,B.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Thanjavur

Wednesday the 11th day of March, 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.1783/2026

{CNR No: TNTJ01-0023382026}

Abinandhan, S/o.Ramamoorthy

....Petitioner/ Accused

/ versus /

State of Tamil Nadu represented by Inspector of Police

Kumbakonam West Police Station,

Cr.No.81/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.J.Ajith, Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.S.Sathiamoorthy, on behalf of the complainant and this court passed the following,

ORDER

This e-petition is filed by the petitioner u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) - 2023, praying for anticipatory bail.

Notice was issued. Reply was filed by the prosecution.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is being charged for offences u/s 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS in Cr. No.81/2026 of Kumbakonam west Police Station and he has not committed any offences as alleged and he has been falsely implicated in this case and also submits that the injured person was discharged from the hospital and the investigation is almost completed and the petitioner has no more previous case. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any condition to be imposed by this court and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submits that on 05.02.2026, totally four accused persons including the petitioner herein made a quarrel with the de-facto complainant Ravindran @ Musthappa on account of dispute regarding rash driving of two-wheeler and they caused injury to the de-facto complainant with sickle. He further submits that the injured person has taken treatment as out-patient and the petitioner has no previous case and this is the main case to the counter case registered in Cr.No.82/2026, but, the investigation is still pending and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.

Rival submissions are taken into consideration. Perused the records. The occurrence is said to have taken place in between the parties on account of dispute regarding rash driving of the accused persons. The injured person is said to have taken medical treatment as out-patient. Case and counter case are said to have been registered. It seems that the material part of investigation would have been almost completed by this time. The only non-bailable offence is section 118(1) of BNS. The petitioner has no previous case. By considering the above aspects, other facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offences and in the absence of

any serious objection on the side of the prosecution, this court is of the view that the petitioner can be granted anticipatory bail.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is allowed with the following conditions:-

- 1) In the event of arrest or on his appearance before the Jurisdictional Magistrate, the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the Jurisdictional Magistrate,
- 2) The petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and also submit a copy of their Aadhaar Card or any other identity card issued by the Government in proof of their identity,
- 3) The petitioner shall appear and sign before the respondent daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of 15 days (including holidays) from the next day of execution of bond before the Jurisdictional Magistrate, without fail and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation,.
- 4) The petitioner shall comply with the conditions stipulated u/s 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) - 2023 scrupulously,
- 5) The petitioner shall surrender before the Jurisdictional Magistrate within 30 days from the date of this order, failing which, this anticipatory bail order shall stand cancelled automatically without any further reference to this court.
- 6) On breach of any of the above said conditions, the Jurisdictional Magistrate is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala reported in (2005) AIR SC W 5560
- 7) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under section 269 of BNS and
- 8) The Station House Officer of Respondent P.S. is directed to send a report to this court as to compliance of reporting condition by the petitioner.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 11th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.

Copy to:
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Kumbakonam
The Inspector of Police, Kumbakonam West P.S.
The Counsel for the petitioner.