

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THANJAVUR.

PRESENT: Thiru.P.Velmurugan, B.A.,B.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Thanjavur

Wednesday the 11th day of March, 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.1729/2026

{CNR No: TNTJ01-0021662026}

Sathish, S/o.Arunachalam

....Petitioner/ Accused

/ versus /

State of Tamil Nadu represented by Inspector of Police

Thanjavur Tamil University Police Station,

Cr.No.481/2025

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.T.S.Karthikeyan, Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.S.Sathiamoorthy, on behalf of the complainant and this court passed the following,

ORDER

This petition is filed by the petitioner u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) - 2023, praying for anticipatory bail.

Notice was issued. Reply was filed by the prosecution.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is being charged for the offences u/s 316(2), 318(4) of BNS in Cr.No.481/2025 of Thanjavur Tamil University Police Station and he has not committed any offences as alleged and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that the investigation is almost over and the petitioner has no previous case and he is ready to abide by any condition to be imposed by this court and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioner.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submits that on 20.04.2025, the petitioner hired the centering materials to the worth of Rs.4,00,000/- from the de-facto complainant Mahalakshmi for the period of one month by paying an advance amount of Rs.11,000/-, but thereafter, he did not pay the balance amount of Rs.89,487/-. He further submits that the cheated amount is not yet recovered and the investigation is still pending and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.

Rival submissions are taken into consideration. Perused the records. The

petitioner is said to have cheated the hiring amount for the centering items. The cheated amount is not yet recovered. It seems that the investigation is still pending. Strong objection was raised on the side of the prosecution. By considering the above aspects, nature and gravity of the offence and in view of the strong objection raised on the side of the prosecution, this court is of the view that it is not desirable to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioner.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 11th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.

Copy to:
The Counsel for the petitioner.