

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THANJAVUR.

PRESENT: Thiru.P.Velmurugan, B.A.,B.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Thanjavur

Wednesday the 11th day of March, 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.1625/2026

{CNR No: TNTJ01-0020822026}

1. Keerthan, S/o.Arockiyadoss

2. Akash, S/o.Anthoniraj

3. Joseph @ Joseph Antony, S/o.Ebinesan

...Petitioner/ Accused

/ versus /

State of Tamil Nadu represented by Inspector of Police

Vallam Police Station,

Cr.No.75/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.S.Dravidan, Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.S.Sathiamoorthy, on behalf of the complainant and this court passed the following,

ORDER

This e-petition is filed by the petitioners u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) - 2023, praying for anticipatory bail.

Notice was issued. Reply was filed by the prosecution.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are being charged for offences u/s 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS in Cr. No.75/2026 of Vallam Police Station and they have not committed any offences as alleged and they have been falsely implicated in this case and also submits that the injured person was discharged from the hospital and case and counter case have been registered against each other. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition to be imposed by this court and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submits that on 25.02.2026, the petitioners herein / A1 to A3 made a quarrel with the de-facto complainant Jerison Infant on account of previous motive and they caused injuries to the de-facto complainant with wooden log and knife. He further submits that this is the main case to the counter case registered in Cr.No.76/2026 and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital after taking medical treatment of three days, but, the investigation is still pending and if the petitioners are released on bail, they may commit further offences against

the de-facto complainant and hence, he strongly opposed to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioners.

Rival submissions are taken into consideration. Perused the records. The occurrence is said to have taken place in between the parties on account of previous motive. The injured person is said to have been discharged from the hospital. Admittedly, case and counter case are said to have been registered against each other. But, it seems that investigation is still pending in this case. Strong objection was raised on the side of the prosecution that if the petitioners are released on bail, they may commit further offences against the de-facto complainant. By considering the above aspects, nature and gravity of the offence, pending investigation and strong objection raised on the side of the prosecution, this court is of the view that it is not desirable to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioners.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 11th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.

Copy to:
The Counsel for the petitioner.