

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THANJAVUR.

PRESENT: Thiru.P.Velmurugan, B.A.,B.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Thanjavur

Wednesday the 11th day of March, 2026

Criminal Miscellaneous Petition No.1621/2026

{CNR No: TNTJ01-0020772026}

1. Jerisan Infant Doniyo, S/o. Jothi
 2. Livinkumar, S/o. Jothi
 3. Gurushyam Anthoniraj, S/o.Anthoniraj
 4. Kutti @ Anthony Prabakaran, S/o.Vincent
-Petitioners/ Accused

/ versus /

State of Tamil Nadu represented by Inspector of Police
Vallam Police Station,
Cr.No.76/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.M.Saravanan, Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners and Thiru.S.Dravidan, Learned Advocate appearing for the intervenor 56and the Learned Public Prosecutor Thiru.S.Sathiamoorthy, on behalf of the complainant and this court passed the following,

ORDER

This e-petition is filed by the petitioners u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) - 2023, praying for anticipatory bail.

Notice was issued. Reply was filed by the prosecution.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are being charged for offences u/s 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS in Cr. No.76/2026 of Vallam Police Station and they have not committed any offences as alleged and they have been falsely implicated in this case and also submits that the injured person was discharged from the hospital and case and counter case have been registered. He further submits that the petitioners are ready to abide by any condition to be imposed by this court and hence, he seeks anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

The Learned Counsel appearing for the intervenor /defacto complainant's father has submitted that the petitioners herein and other accused assaulted the de-facto complainant with sword and caused injuries on his head and hand, for which, 34 stitches and 7 stitches were made respectively. He further submits that the police had not properly recorded his complaint statement and the case has to be registered by including for the offence of attempt to murder and one more accused is to be added in this case. He further submits that in this regard, he had given a complaint before the Superintendent of Police, Thanjavur on 04.03.2026 and the copy of the same were sent to CM Cell, State Human Rights Commission, DGP of Police, IG of Police, DIG of Police, DSP of Police, Thanjavur District and to the Inspector of Police, Vallam Police Station and to that effect, he filed the copy of the complaint and the photos showing the injuries of the injured person and hence, he prays to dismiss the anticipatory bail petition moved by A1 ot A4.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submits that on 25.02.2026, the petitioners herein / A1 to A4 made a quarrel with the de-facto complainant Keerthan on account of previous motive and they

caused injuries to the de-facto complainant with pipe. He further submits that this is the counter case to the main case registered in Cr.No.75/2026 and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital after taking medical treatment of 12 days, but, the investigation is still pending and hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioners.

Rival submissions are taken into consideration. Perused the records. The occurrence is said to have taken place in between the parties on account of previous motive and admittedly, case and counter case are said to have been registered against each other. The person is said to have been discharged from the hospital. However, it is seen from the photo filed on the side of the de-facto complainant that the de-facto complainant sustained injuries on his head and hand. Further, it is stated on the side of the petitioner that 34 and 7 stitches were made on the head and hand of the injured person. Further, on the side of the de-facto complainant, it is represented that the de-facto complainant lodged a complaint before the Superintendent of Police, Thanjavur to include the offence of attempt to murder and to add one more accused. It seems that the investigation is still pending. By considering the above aspects, nature and gravity of the offence, pending investigation and strong objection raised on the side of the de-facto complainant and the prosecution, this court is of the view that it is not desirable to grant anticipatory bail in favour of the petitioners.

In the result, this Anticipatory Bail Petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 11th day of March, 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.

Copy to:
The Counsel for the petitioners.