

**IN THE COURT OF II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS
JUDGE, THANJAVUR.**

**Present : Thiru. P.Nagarajan, M.A.,M.L.,
II Additional District & Sessions Judge, Thanjavur.**

**Wednesday the 10th day of June 2026.
(Thiruvalluvar Aandu 2057- Vaikasi Matham 27th day)**

EP. No.5/2026 in ACP.No.KR864/2015

M/s.Sriram Finance Ltd.,
(Formerly known as
M/s.Shriram City Union
Finance Ltd.,) Rep., by its
Authorized Signatory
recovery Manager R.Suresh.

Petitioner/Decree Holder

...

VS

1) R.Ravichandran

...

Respondents /Respondents/Judgment
debtors

2) R.Mariyammal

This petition was came up before this court for final hearing on 08.06.2026 in the presence of **Thiru.D.Chandrasekar**, Advocate for the petitioner/Decree Holder and **Thiru.D.Raja**, Advocate filed vakalat for the 1st respondent but not filed counter and upon hearing the arguments petitioner side, upon perusal of the entire material records pertaining to the case and the matter having stood over for consideration till this date, this court delivered the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner filed this petition under order 21 Rule 54, 66 and sec. 151 of C.P.C. to direct the respondents to pay Rs.20,22,406/- and in default attach and sale of immovable mentioned in this petition and the attached immovable may be sold in public auction and the amount realized may be given to the petitioner.

2) Gist of the affidavit on behalf of the petitioner/Decree Holder:

The petitioner/decreed holder got a decree in A.C.P.No.KR864/2015 against the respondents on 24.02.2016. As per decree the respondents are to be ordered that to pay a sum of Rs.7,22,022/- and interest of Rs.12,97,834.54 and costs of Rs.2,549.50 totally Rs.20,22,406/-. If the respondents failure to do so, attach and sale of immovables mentioned in this petition and to be ordered to sale in public auction and the amount to be realized to the petitioner.

(3) Vakalath filed on 20.2.26 but, not filed counter and no representation for 1st respondent side.

(4) Point for consideration:

Whether the petition is to be allowed or not?

(5) There is no oral or documentary evidence for both side.

(6) POINT:

Whether the petition is allowed or not is a question to be decided. The learned counsel for petitioner submitted that petitioner/decreed holder got a decree in A.C.P.No.KR864/2015 against the respondents on 24.02.2016. As per decree the respondents are to be ordered that to pay a sum of Rs.7,22,022/- and interest of Rs.12,97,834.54 and costs of Rs.2,549.50 totally Rs.20,22,406/-. If the respondents failure to do so, attach and sale of immovable mentioned in this petition and to be ordered to sale in public auction and the amount to be realized to the petitioner. Vakalath filed for R1, but not filed counter and there is no representation for 1st respondent.

7) On careful consideration, the rival submissions and perused the materials on record. in the instant case admittedly in A.C.P.No.KR864/2015 was passed against the respondents for a sum of Rs.7,22,022/- The present execution petition is filed for Rs.20,22,406/- calculating interest at the rate of 18% per month. Admittedly the loan was obtained for development of business. The respondents not come forward

to repay even after filing the petition, also not come forward to pay the decree amount even having means to repay. Hence the point is answered.

In the result, this execution petition is allowed. Batta within a week.
Attach by 10.07.2026.

This order is dictated to Steno-typist by me, transcribed by her in computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court, this the 10th day of June 2026.

**II Additional District & Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.**

**Petitioner and Respondents side
witness and documents : Nil**

**II Additional District & Sessions Judge,
Thanjavur.**

<u>EP. No. 5/2026 in</u> <u>ACP. No.KR864/2015</u> <u>Dated: 10.06.2026</u>
--