

**IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL
MAGISTRATE COURT, UTHUKULI**

PRESENT : Miss. D. Thenmozhi., B.A., B.L.,L.L.M.,

District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate,
Uthukuli. (FAC)

Tuesday, the 09th day of June, 2026

Crl.M.P. No.1150 of 2026

CNR No.TNTI23-001551-2026

A1.Mani

S/o. Thangaraj

...Petitioner/Accused

/ Vs /

The Inspector of Police,

Uthukuli Police Station,

Crime No.193 of 2026,

U/s.296(b), 118(1), 351(3) of BNS

... Respondent / Complainant

This petition has come up on 09.06.2026 for final hearing before me in the presence of Adv.Mr.P.Thangaraj, B.A.,B.L., learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner and the Learned APP for the respondent and upon hearing both side and upon perusal of the records, this court delivers the following:

ORDER

1. **The case of the prosecution** : A complaint was lodged against the accused on 19.05.2026 for having Assault to the defacto complainant Mr. Karthick Hence, the complaint was lodged and an FIR was registered U/s.296(b), 118(1), 351(3) BNS. The accused was arrested and remanded on 19.05.2026 for U/s.296(b), 118(1), 351(3) BNS. Now the accused has filed bail application,

2. **Contention of the Petitioner:** The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner/accused submits that, the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the case. He was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 19.05.2026. He will not tampered any of the witnesses or evidence. He is ready to furnish substantial sureties if them released on bail. Therefore, prayed for release of the petitioner/accused on bail.

3. **Counter of the respondent:** In this petition, reply received from the prosecution and stated in the reply that, if the petitioner/accused is released on bail, he may commit a similar offence and also he may be abscond and threat to the witnesses and tamper the evidence. Further stating that, he will not cooperate the trial and in this case. Hence, objected to release the petitioner / accused on bail.

4. **Point for determination;** whether the petitioner / accused is entitled to be enlarged on bail?

Heard both sides. On perusal of records, it is found that the petitioner/accused was charged U/s. 296(b), 118(1), 351(3) BNS and he was arrested and remanded to Judicial custody on He was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 19.05.2026 for the past 22 days. It is also noted that the accused has no criminal antecedents and has strong roots over the society and the likelihood of the accused not to cooperate for trial or investigation is not very apparent.

5. However, this court is aware of the fact that there is scope for the accused to tamper evidence and threaten the prosecution witnesses. Taking into account of the fact that the accused are in custody for the past 22 days. There is no peculiar circumstances or evidence that warrants the detention of the accused, considering the nature and gravity of the offences charged being bailable, the likelihood of the accused to cooperate for the investigation and trial, the evidence collected so far by the prosecution, and the lesser scope of custodial interrogation of the accused, nature and gravity of offence alleged, scope for accused to tamper evidence, this court is of the view that his release

would not have any adverse effect on the society. Hence, for reason stated above this is inclined to grant bail on the following conditions:-

1. The petitioner/accused shall execute a bond sum of Rs.10,000/- along with two sufficient sureties and out of whom, one must be a blood relative of the petitioner/accused.
2. The sureties shall affix their Photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and also produce two photograph and copy of their Adhar card or Bank pass book to ensure the identity;
3. The petitioner/accused shall not abscond or tamper the witnesses during the case investigation or trial and is also directed to cooperate for the case investigation;
4. The petitioner/accused shall sign before the respondent police station daily morning at 10.00 A.M for 30 days.
5. The petitioner / accused shall not involve in similar offences and any violation of conditional under stipulated will result in invoking section 269 of BNS

In the result, the bail petition is allowed.

This order is pronounced in open Court on this 09th day of June 2026.

**District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate,
Uthukuli (FAC).**