

**IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,  
UTHUKULI**

**Present :** Thiru.B.Dharaneether B.A.,L.L.M.,  
District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,  
Uthukuli.

**Dated :** Friday, the 06<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

**CrI.M.P. No. 288 of 2026**

**CNR NO.TNTI23-000440-2026**

Vetri Chandran (46)  
S/o. Sankarapani,  
No. 162/38, Kaliyaperumal Nagar,  
Chidambaram, Cuddalore District.  
Now residing at:  
1/163, CK Nagar, Karattankadu,  
Tiruppur District.

**... Petitioner / Accused**

**Vs**

State represented by  
The Sub-Inspector of Police,  
Uthukuli Police Station,  
Tiruppur District.  
Crime No. 53 of 2026  
Uthukuli Police Station  
U/s 296(b), 308(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita

**... Respondent / Complainant**

**ORDER**

This Criminal Miscellaneous Petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused seeking to enlarge him on bail in connection with Crime No. 53 of 2026 on the file of the Uthukuli Police Station for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 296(b) and 308(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

The petitioner was arrested in connection with the above crime and has been remanded to judicial custody. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the petitioner is a permanent resident and undertakes to cooperate with the investigation and to abide by any conditions imposed by this Court.

The learned Assistant Public Prosecutor filed a reply and opposed the petition contending that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature and that if the petitioner is released on bail, there is a possibility of threatening the complainant and witnesses. The reply filed by the prosecution has been perused.

This Court has carefully considered the submissions made on either side and perused the materials placed before it. At the stage of considering bail, this Court is not required to make a detailed appreciation of the evidence. The object of bail is to secure the presence of the accused during the course of investigation and trial and not to detain him as a measure of punishment before conviction.

Though the allegations made against the petitioner are serious, the continued detention of the petitioner in judicial custody at this stage is not necessary for the purpose of investigation. The apprehensions expressed by the prosecution can be adequately safeguarded by imposing appropriate conditions.

Having regard to the nature of the allegations, the stage of the case, and balancing the liberty of the individual with the interest of justice, this Court is inclined to allow the petition.

Accordingly, this petition is allowed subject to the following condition:

1. The petitioner/accused shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of this Court.

2. The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation and shall appear before the Investigating Officer and this Court as and when required.
3. The petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or influence witnesses either directly or indirectly.
4. The petitioner shall not involve himself in any offence of similar nature during the period of bail.

Dictated by me to Steno -Typist, typed by her in the computer, corrected and pronounced by me in open court on 06<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2026.

**District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,  
Uthukuli.**