

In the court of the Principal Sessions Judge, Tiruppur

Present: Thiru. R.Kanagaraj, B.Com., L.L.B.,
(J.O. Code.TN01247)

II Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge, Tiruppur (I/C).

Monday, the 7th day of September, 2026

Cr.M.P. No.1787 / 2026 (CNR No.TNTI18 003806 2026)

Manikandan, aged 42 years

S/o. Loganathan

...

Petitioner / Accused

Vs.

State of Tamil Nadu Represented by

The Inspector of Police,

South Police Station,

Tiruppur City.

(Cr.No.443/2026)

...

Respondent/Complainant

This petition came for hearing on this day in the presence of Thiru.C.Rajiv, Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.A.Sachignanandakumar, Public Prosecutor for the State / respondent, after hearing both sides and on perusing the reply submitted by the respondent in writing and the records, this Court delivers the following:

ORDER

The Petitioner has filed the application U/s.483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking bail for the offence u/s.309(4), 311 and 351(3) of BNS in Cr.No.443/2026 of the respondent police.

First Information Report is pending against the petitioner u/s.309(4), 311 and 351(3) of BNS. The alleged date of occurrence is from 25.08.2026

Dated:07.09.2026

Principal Sessions Judge(I/C), Tiruppur

and First Information Report was registered on 26.08.2026 and the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on the same day and he is in incarceration for **past 13 days**.

The learned petitioner Counsel argued that, there are three accused in this case, the petitioner herein is the 2nd accused, on demand to consume liquor, the petitioner alleged to have robbed a sum of Rs.5,000/- and a button cell phone from the defacto complainant in causing injuries to him, but there is no truth in the case of prosecution and hence prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

The learned Public Prosecutor per contra argued that, investigation is pending in this case and the injured has taken treatment as in patient for 7 days, he has strong objection in granting bail to the petitioner.

Both side heard and records carefully perused. It is argued by the petitioner counsel that due to a quarrel arose between four friends, one of them sustained injuries and on that basis the case was registered against the petitioner. But it was not denied that money was snatched from the defacto complainant and so considering that the real facts came to light only at the time of trial and the offences are serious in nature, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Dictated to the steno-typist, typed by her, corrected and pronounced by me and uploaded in Case Information System on this 7th day of September, 2026.

II Additional District and Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge (I/C),
Tiruppur.

Copy to:

1. The Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Tiruppur.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Tiruppur.
3. The Inspector of Police, South Police Station, Tiruppur.
4. Counsel for the Petitioner.
5. The Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Tiruppur.
through e-mail.