

**In the Court of Judicial Magistrate No. I, in Udumalpet**

**Present : Tmt. T. Nithyakala, B.Com., B.L.,  
Judicial Magistrate No. I,  
Udumalpet.**

**The Friday 13<sup>th</sup> Day of February – 2026**

**CrI.M.P. No.181/2026**

M.Senthamizhselvi (22),  
D/o. P.Murugan,  
7/79, Malaiyandigaundanoor,  
Udumalpet Tk, Tiruppur Dt.

.....Petitioner

/ Vs /

Gokul (24),  
S/o. Selvaraj,  
3/224, Kongal Nagar Pudur,  
Vinayagar Kovil Street,  
Udumalpet.

.....Respondent

**ORDER**

**(U/s.174 BNSS)**

1. The Inspector of Police attached with Udumalpet All Women Police station have submitted the original complaint of Tmt.M.Senthamizhselvi along with relevant documents and legal opinion of Assistant Public Prosecutor in respect of non cognizable connection with the offence of U/S.82(1) of BNS and the defacto complainant is present.

2. The complaint shows that the complainant married the respondent on 25.11.2022 at Tiruchendur Murugan Temple and that a marriage reception held on 18.12.2022. Thereafter, the respondent left the complainant at her mother's house by stating that he was going to work and would return. But he never came back. Further, the petitioner later came to know that the respondent had Cheated her and he was married another woman in Kerala. Therefore, the Complainant prays that appropriate action be taken against the respondent and his parents.

3. Records perused. The case materials reveals that the marriage between the petitioner namely Senthamizh Selvi and the respondent namely

Gokul was held on 25.11.2022 at Tiruchendur Murugan Temple and same that a reception was held on 18.12.2022 at TV Ramraj Marriage Mahal in Kudimangalam. In further, the respondent leaving the petitioner and got married Krithika, D/o. Varadharaj of Kongal Nagar on 19.05.2025 as evident the complainant produced the marriage certificate and the Birth certificate of their female child namely Vidusha.

4. At this Juncture, this Court ordered for preliminary enquiry report from the Inspector of Police, All Woman Police Station and she filed a report that the respondent married the complainant for which enclosed pendrive along with CD.

5. In further, this Court is referred the Judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Madras in CrI.OP(MD) No.19623 of 2024 observed that the F.I.R. registered for the non-cognizable offence without permission from the magistrate is illegal.

6. Whiles, from the above circumstance, on considering the available materials placed on records, facts and circumstances of the above case, prima facia is made out to register a FIR for offence under section 82(1) of B.N.S. which classified as non cognizable. Hence this court is ordered U/s. 174 BNSS by directing the concern police to register FIR under section 82(1) of BNS and proceed in accordance with law.

Judicial Magistrate No.I,  
Udumalpet