

In the court of Sh. Sumit Bhalla ,
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Ludhiana
(PB00259)

BA No.5385 of 2026
CNR No. PBLD010134662026
Date of Decision: 14.07.2026

State of Punjab

Versus

Jasmandeep Singh son of late Jagroop Singh, r/o V. Dulay, PS Dehlon,
Ludhiana.

.....Applicant.

FIR No. 67 of 07.04.2026
U/s 110 , 115 (2), 351 (2) and 3(5) of BNS,
2023.
Police Station Dehlon , Ludhiana

**Application filed U/s 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of
anticipatory bail.**

....

Present: Sh. Gurpreet Singh Grewal, Advocate for petitioner.
Ms. Vishivani Bansal, Addl. PP for the State.

ORDER

Apprehending his arrest in above referred First Information Report, the petitioner referred above has approached the Court for pre-arrest bail. Upon notice, learned APP appeared to contest the petition.

2. Brief facts, as narrated in the First Information Report by Surinder Singh, are that on 06.04.2026 at about 11:20 AM when he along with his father-in-law Ranjit Singh were repairing the water channel in their fields, Tehal Singh along with Gurvir Singh, Jasmandeep Singh and one unknown person came there, who were armed with sticks. Tehal Singh picked up the hammer lying on the spot for repair of the water channel and gave hammer blow on left side of the head of Ranjit Singh,

with intention to kill him. Gurvir Singh also gave stick blow on the arm of the complainant. Tehal Singh gave another hammer blow on the right ear of the father-in-law of the complainant and fist blow on the right eye of complainant. The assailants gave kick blows on the complainant and his father-in-law and thereafter left the spot when complainant raised hue and cry.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that FIR has been falsely registered against the petitioner and that he has not been attributed with any grievous injury to complainant or injured Ranjit Singh. Petitioner is ready to join the investigation and the co-accused Gurvir Singh has already joined the investigation in pursuance of the order passed by the Court of Sh. Ranjeev Kumar Vishisht, learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ludhiana on 11.06.2026.

4. Per contra, learned Addl. PP for the state contended that the petitioner has committed serious offences, for which, he is not entitled to concession anticipatory bail.

5. Heard and record perused. Vide order dated 11.06.2026, petitioner was admitted to interim anticipatory bail, with directions to join the investigation and as per statement of the investigating officer recorded today, the petitioner has joined the investigation. When assessing the relative roles of the accused, it is evident that the primary and most grave allegations, specifically the infliction of hammer blows to the vital parts of the injured Ranjit Singh, are attributed to the main accused Tehal Singh. It is pertinent to note that the anticipatory bail application of Tehal

Singh has already been declined by this Court. Furthermore, the State has not brought forth any exceptional circumstances or pending recoveries that would necessitate custodial interrogation or demonstrate that granting bail would impede a fair and proper investigation.

6. Under these circumstances, abovesaid order dated 12.06.2026 passed by the Court vide which accused/petitioner was admitted on interim bail, is made absolute and stands confirmed. Thus, bail application is allowed. The petitioner shall comply with statutory conditions enshrined in Section 482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. File be consigned to record room.

Pronounced in open court:
Dt. 14.07.2026
naresh sharma,
steno/JW Grade-1

(Sumit Bhalla)
Addl. Sessions Judge,,
Ludhiana.
(PB00259)