

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, AVINASHI
PRESENT :Tmt. N.Keerthana, B.A.,L.L.M.,
Judicial Magistrate,
Avinashi.

On Thursday, the 12th day of March 2026

E.Crl.M.P. No.263/2026
in
Cr. No.42/2026

1. Mohanraj,
S/o.Karunakaran

2. Jishnu,
S/o. Jayaprakash

3. Muthu,
S/o. Kasinathan

4. Sougath Ali,
S/o. Manjur

.... Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 4

/Vs/

State rep by, The Inspector of Police,
Perumanallur P.S
Crime No.42/2026
U/s.61(2)(b), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 191(2), 351(3) of BNS

..... Respondent/Complainant

This petition has come up on 12.03.2026 for final hearing before me
Thiru. S. Jeevarathinam, appearing for the petitioners and the Learned Assistant
Public Prosecutor appearing for the Respondent and upon perusal of the records,
this court delivers the following.

ORDER

This is an application filed by the petitioners to enlarge them on bail under Section 480 of BNSS, 2023.

2. **The Gist of the Petitioner:**

The petitioners submit that the respondent police has registered the above case for the alleged offences U/s.61(2)(b), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 191(2), 351(3) of BNS. The Petitioners were arrested on 07.02.2026 and they are in judicial custody for the past 34 days. The petitioners are innocent persons, they are no way connected with the offences. Further, they stated that false case has been foisted against them. If they are released on bail, they will neither abscond nor tamper the witnesses. Further, they stated that they are the only bread winner of their family and they are ready to furnish sufficient sureties for the satisfaction of the court and they prayed that they may be enlarged on bail.

3. **Objection filed by the prosecution :**

The Learned Public prosecutor and prosecution submitted that the investigation is still pending. The petitioners belong to other district. The petitioners are habitual offender who are having several previous cases of similar nature. If the petitioners are released on bail, they may abscond and indulge in same kind of offences. Hence the prosecution side strongly opposed for granting bail to the petitioners.

4. Both side Heard. On perusal of records, it is found that the accused are habitual offenders and having several previous cases against them which would make it highly probable that they would get absconded or may indulge in doing the same kind of offences. Further, they may tamper the witnesses and secure their presence,

this court is not inclined to grant bail.

5. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed in the interest of justice.

Directly dictated by me to the Steno-Typist, typed by him, corrected by me and pronounced by me in the open court on the 12th day of January 2026,

Judicial Magistrate,
Avinashi.