

IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, ANDIPATTI.

Present: THIRU.H.FAZIL MOHAMED,B.B.A.,LL.B(HONS)

Judicial Magistrate, Andipatti

Dated on this 04th day of July 2026.

CrI.M.P. No.1531/ 20256

in

WLOR. No.07/2026

A1 Mokkaidurai S/o.Pandi
A4 Periyathavasi S/o. Velsamy

.... Petitioners/Accused

/Vs/

The State rep. by the Forest Range Officer,
Gandamanur FRO.
WLOR. No.07/2026

.... Respondent/Complainant

The petition has been filed on behalf of Petitioner/Accused to release him on bail u/s 480 of BNSS This application/petition coming before me for a final hearing on 04.07.2026 This court has taken up this petition for bail in pursuance of the bail petition filed by Thiru.R. Murugan Learned Counsel for the petitioners and the relevant materials on record and upon hearing both sides arguments, this court passes the following

ORDER

1. Heard both sides. Records perused. The petitioner are the accused in WLOR.No.07/2026 of Gandamanur FRO for the alleged U/s.20(d) Tamil Nadu forest Act 1882 & Sec 9, 26(A),27, 39(1)(a)&(b), 39(2), 40(2A) 44(1), 49(B), 50, 51(1C&55) Wild Life Protection Act 1972 Learned counsel for Petitioner has filed this petition, seeking for grant of bail.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioner are innocent and had not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioners are a law-abiding citizen and he has given an undertaking that he would never abscond nor tamper or hamper the witnesses and is ready to abide by any condition imposed by the court. The petitioners has been in prison from 07.07.2026 for 03 days. Hence, the petitioners prays for consideration of his bail application.

3. *Per contra*, the respondent filed detailed objections submitted that the investigation is still pending in this case. In the event, that the petitioners are released on bail, he would abscond and may not appear before the court by abiding the condition. He would indulge in a similar kind of

offence and hence, the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of bail application to the petitioner.

4. This court considered the rival submissions and perused the available records. The offence alleged to have been committed is of serious nature as Elephant is Schedule I animal. Based on the confession of A1 Accused Mekkaturai elephant skull and the Ivory of the elephant was seized. As per U/s 2(16) Wild life protection Act even taking any part of the body of animal is considered as hunting and two other accused were absconding as reported by the prosecution by Considering the fact and circumstances of the case and objection made by prosecution and as investigation is still pending this court is not inclined to grant bail to the accused.

In the result this petition is dismissed

This order is dictated to Steno-typist, typed by her in Computer, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this the 04th day of July 2026

Judicial Magistrate,
Andipatti.