



IN THE COURT OF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE UTHAMAPALAYAM.

Present: Thiru. P.Kamarasu, B.A., B.L.,
Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam.

On this 02nd day of July 2026

CrI.M.P. No.499/2026

Chithra
W/o. Rangesan

... Petitioner/Third party person /
Owner of Property

- Vs -

The State,
Rep. by Inspector of Police,
Odaipatty P.S
Crime No. 32/2025

... Respondent/Complainant

K.Subramani
S/o. Kanthasamy Pillai

... Respondent

This petition has been filed by the learned Counsel Thiru. S.Velmurugan. Upon considering the petition and the reply submitted by the APP Grade-II and the Sub-Inspector of Police, this Court delivers the following

ORDER

1. The petitioner filed this petition for return of property U/S.497 & 503, BNSS stating that the vehicle TVS Jupiter bearing registration number TN 52 H 2597 has been seized in the above case and the said vehicle belongs to her. It is the contention of the petitioner that the respondent-police has registered the case for the offences U/S. 109, 296(b) BNS @ 109, 249, 296(b) and 61(2) of BNS as against her son.

2. Further, the said vehicle was purchased from Subramani, S/o. Kanthasamy Pillai. However, the transfer of ownership was not effected. The seized vehicle is currently in the custody of the police station and it is in a state of disrepair as it is not used at present. She further submitted that she will comply with the orders of this court if the said vehicle is handed over to her on interm basis. It is fair and necessary



to order the said vehicle to be handed over to her. The prosecution objected to the hand-over of the aforesaid vehicle as in interim custody.

3. The rival contention of both sides are heard. The perusal of records reveals that the Registration Certificate pertaining to the vehicle seized in this case does not stand in the name of the petitioner. Even according to the petitioner, she has purchased the said vehicle from one Subramani. If it is so, then it is her statutory duty to effect the transfer of ownership of the said vehicle. Further, the petitioner can not get the mandatory requirement of taking Insurance for the said vehicle without effecting the transfer of the ownership of the vehicle. Hence, this court doesn't find any merit in this petition. Consequently, this court is not inclined to allow this petition.

In the result, this petition is dismissed. No cost.

Dictated to the Steno-Typist, computerized by him, corrected, and pronounced by me in open Court on this 02nd day of July, 2026.

Judicial Magistrate,
Uthamapalayam