



UPSI010056152026

The Court of District & Sessions Judge, Sitapur.

Present- (Ashish Jain), (H.J.S.)

CIS No.- 2503/2026

Bail Application No. 1039/2026

Guddu		Accused-applicant.,
	Versus	
State of U.P.		Respondent.

Case Crime No.- 128/2026

Section- 109(1), 118(1) BNS

P.S.- Reusa, District Sitapur.

Disposed Bail Application Order

1. This bail application has been filed by the accused-applicant **Guddu** in Case Crime No. 128/2026 under Sections 109(1) and 118(1) BNS, P.S. Reusa, District Sitapur.
2. In connection with the present bail application, an affidavit of **Shiv Kumar** has also been filed stating that this is the second bail application of the accused-applicant under Sections 109(1) and 118(1) of the BNS. No other bail application has been filed by the accused-applicant either before this Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, nor is any bail application pending or rejected.
3. The brief facts of the prosecution case are that the complainant Lal Ji lodged a written report at Police Station Reusa alleging that on 20.04.2026 at about 10:00 a.m., his daughter Sangeeta, her maternal aunt Rajkumari, and his wife Ramshri had gone to Sevta Bazar to purchase household articles. At Sevta Bazar, Sangeeta's husband, Guddu, also arrived. Due to an ongoing dispute between Sangeeta and Guddu, the latter, out of previous enmity, attacked Sangeeta with a knife with the intention of causing her death, as a result of which she sustained grievous injuries and fell unconscious on the spot. When Rajkumari rushed to rescue Sangeeta, the accused allegedly attacked her on the neck with a knife with the intention to kill her, causing grievous injuries and rendering her unconscious. The accused also attacked Ramshri with a knife with the intention to kill her, causing serious injuries. Thereafter, the injured persons were taken by the

complainant and the police personnel present at the spot to the Community Health Centre, Reusa, for medical treatment.

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf for the accused-applicant and D.G.C. Criminal for the State and perused the record.
5. Learned counsel for the accused-applicant stated that the FIR has been lodged on false and fabricated facts. That on the date of the alleged incident, the accused-applicant was called to the market by his wife, Sangeeta, where he found her talking objectionably with an unknown person. During this time, a quarrel and physical altercation took place between his wife, her maternal aunt, his mother and the unknown person. During the said incident, the accused-applicant also sustained injuries. The injured persons refused to undergo X-ray examination. The first bail application of the accused-applicant under Section 109 has already been rejected by this Court. The charge-sheet has been submitted against the accused-applicant under Sections 109(1) and 118(1) of the BNS. There is no independent witness of the incident. The accused-applicant has no criminal history. The applicant has been in judicial custody in District Jail, Sitapur since 20.04.2026. The accused-applicant, if enlarged on bail, is ready and willing to abide by the terms and conditions imposed by this Hon'ble Court and shall not misuse the liberty of bail granted to him and will appear before the Court on each date of hearing.
6. Per contra, learned D.G.C. Criminal has vehemently opposed this bail application.
7. Case diary and material available on record have been perused. From the perusal of the FIR and other material on record, it transpires the first bail application of the accused-applicant was rejected by this Court vide order dated 20.06.2026. Learned counsel for the accused-applicant has submitted that the investigation has been concluded and the charge-sheet has already been submitted before the competent Court under Section 109(1) BNS instead of Section 109 BNS and Section 118(1) BNS has also been added during the course of investigation. However, mere completion of investigation and submission of chargesheet or alteration of the offence from Section 109 BNS to Section 109(1) BNS and addition of Section 118(1) BNS, do not constitute such a change in circumstances which would warrant reconsideration of the matter. No new circumstance has been brought

on record to take a different view from the one taken while rejecting the first bail application. Considering the entire facts and circumstances and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this **second** bail application of the accused-applicant is also liable to be **rejected**.

ORDER

This **second** bail application moved on behalf of the accused-applicant **Guddu** in Case Crime No. 128/2026 under Sections 109(1) and 118(1) of the BNS, P.S. Reusa, District Sitapur is hereby, **rejected**.

Date:12.08.2026

(Ashish Jain)
District & Sessions Judge
Sitapur
J.O. Code- UP- 2024