

TNTH020019212026



Presented on : 20-04-2026
Registered on : 20-04-2026
Decided on : 03-08-2026
Duration : 0 years, 3 months, 13 days

**IN THE COURT OF
Judicial Magistrate
AT ,Theni
(Presided Over by V. BHARATHA DEVI)**

CRLMP/1123/2026

Exhibit No.

Prosecution(PROSECUTION):
Chandrasekar
Through Police Station Officer
,
Theni

Chandrasekar

VERSUS

Accused:
The Police Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Allinagaram P.S., Theni District.

APP for State Prosecution}: Rajkumar. M,
Advocate for appearing for The Police Officer Advocate appearing for ,
respectively.

**Offence punishable under :
protest petition of Bharatiya Nagarik**

**Suraksha Sanhita,
of ,
of ,
of ,**

**JUDGMENT
(Delivered on 03-08-2026)**

ORDER

Heard. On perusal of case records Negative Final Report filed in Crime No. 314/2022 stating the case is undetected. The complainant has been given by Defacto Complainant stating 121 gram Jewels were stolen from his house. Further 2 accused were named in complaint on suspicious. But Final Report filed stating No information available regarding the offence. Though the named accused were enquired and their bank transaction was monitored . It is the objection of Defacto Complainant that the witness not examined by the Police as stated in the report. This court considers if the named accused were not involved in the offence. The Police ought have taken steps to find out the accused. Who actually involved in the occurrence. The reason satisfied in the Final Report is not at all satisfied. The Allinagaram P.S., ought to have taken necessary steps to find out the person, Who involved in the occurrence. Report does not discuss the fulfillment Investigation said to be conducted by Allinagaram P.S., This court considers, the further investigation is necessary. Hence the objection is accepted.

Date : **03-08-2026**

(V. BHARATHA DEVI)
Judicial Magistrate
Theni

Dictated on :
Transcribed on :
checked on :
Signed on :

(V. BHARATHA DEVI)
Judicial Magistrate
Theni