



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

1

Crl.M.P.No.506/2026
Periyakulam Police Station
Crime No : 163/2026

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THENI.

Present : Thiru. Swarnam J. Natarajan, M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Theni.

Monday, this the 23rd day of March - 2026

Crl.M.P.No.506/2026 - In -
CNR.No.TNTH01-000905-2026

1. Deepak Ganguly
2. Jayasooriya
3. Udhayanidhi
4. Mayakrishnan @ Aakash

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Periyakulam Police Station,
(Cr.No.163/2026)

... Respondent / Complainant

The petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru. S. Rakesh Harshan, Advocate for the petitioners and Thiru. P. Baskaran, Public Prosecutor for the state, after hearing both sides and perusing the written reply submitted by the respondent and records, this court delivers the following ...

ORDER

1. The petitioners are apprehending arrest for the offences charged u/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) BNS and had filed this petition u/s.482 of B.N.S.S., seeking for their release on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest.

23.03.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Theni.



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

2

Crl.M.P.No.506/2026
Periyakulam Police Station
Crime No : 163/2026

2. According to the petitioners, the petitioners are the accused in the crime number and as against them, allegations have been levelled by the de-facto complainant alleging that on 11.03.2026, during the temple festival, a quarrel arose between the petitioners and the de-facto complainant. Subsequently, the petitioners had used filthy language against the de-facto complainant and his friends and attacked them with a stone and also threatened them. According to the petitioners, they are innocent and they had not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioners had given undertaking that they would never abscond nor tamper or hamper the witnesses and ready to abide by any condition imposed by the court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioners pray for the consideration of their anticipatory bail application.

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that the investigation is still pending. In the event, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they would abscond and may not appear before the Court by abiding the condition. They would indulge in similar offences and hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail application to the petitioners.

23.03.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Theni.



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

3

Crl.M.P.No.506/2026
Periyakulam Police Station
Crime No : 163/2026

4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the injured persons are still continue to be In Patients in the Government Hospital, Periyakulam. Considering the fact that there is a likelihood of alteration of section of law, this court is not inclined to consider the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners. Hence, this petition is dismissed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Given by me, this the 23rd day of March - 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Theni.**

Copy to :-

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Periyakulam.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Theni.
3. The Inspector of Police, Periyakulam Police Station.
4. Counsels for the petitioners.

23.03.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Theni.