



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

1

Crl.M.P.No.1290/2026
Odaipatti Police Station
Crime No : 269/2026

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THENI.

Present : Thiru. A. Saravanakumar, B.A., B.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Theni.

Friday, this the 10th day of July - 2026

Crl.M.P.No.1290/2026 - In -

CNR.No.TNTH01-002281-2026

1. Patchaiyammal

2. Kaviya

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Odaipatti Police Station,
(Cr.No.269/2026)

... Respondent / Complainant

The petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru. P. Sureshkumar, Advocate for the petitioners and Thiru. V. Veerasiva, Public Prosecutor for the State, after hearing both sides and perusing the written reply submitted by the respondent and records, this court delivers the following ...

ORDER

1. The petitioners are apprehending arrest for the offences charged u/s.296(b), 115(2), 351(2), 303(2) and 126(2) BNS and had filed this petition u/s.482 of B.N.S.S., seeking for their release on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest.

2. This is an application for anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against the petitioner / accused u/s.296(b), 115(2), 351(2), 303(2) and 126(2) BNS.

3. The learned counsel for the accused submits that the accused are an innocent persons and they have not committed any offence. If they are enlarged on anticipatory bail, they would furnish sufficient sureties.

10.07.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Theni



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

4. The prosecution is given notice. The learned Public Prosecutor strongly objected to release the accused on the ground that the investigation is not yet completed.

5. Considering the rival submissions, it is noticed that the occurrence has taken place on 02.07.2026 and the FIR was registered on 03.07.2026. As per the case of the prosecution, the accused persons, who are neighbours of the de-facto complainant, interfered with the construction of the de-facto complainant's house and quarreled with the de-facto complainant and his mother. The learned Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the petition on the ground that, at the time of the occurrence, 05 sovereigns of gold jewellery and a cellphone were found to be missing, the same have not yet been recovered and the investigation is still at a nascent stage. Considering the objections raised by the prosecution and the non-recovery of the missing properties and the fact that the investigation is still at a nascent stage, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the accused at this stage. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Given by me, this the 10th day of July - 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Theni.**

Copy to :-

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Uthamapalayam.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Theni.
3. The Inspector of Police, Odaipatti Police Station.
4. Counsel for the Petitioners.