



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

1

Crl.M.P.No.1152/2026
P. C. Patti Police Station
Crime No : 358/2026

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, THENI.

Present : Thiru. A. Saravanakumar, B.A.,B.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Theni.

Wednesday, this the 01st day of July – 2026

Crl.M.P.No.1152/2026 - In -

CNR.No.TNTH01-002075-2026

Jothiboss

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
P. C. Patti Police Station,
(Cr.No.358/2026)

... Respondent / Complainant

The petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru. A. Vasimalai, Advocate for the petitioner and on perusal of the written reply filed by the respondent police and the records available on file, this court delivers the following ...

ORDER

The petitioner, who is in judicial custody for the offences charged u/s.319, 137(2), 309(4) BNS @ 319, 137(2), 310(4) BNS in Crime No.358/2026 of the respondent police since 10.06.2026 is seeking for his release on bail u/s.483 of B.N.S.S.,

2. This is an application for bail in respect of a case registered against the petitioner/accused u/s.319, 137(2), 309(4) BNS @ 319, 137(2), 310(4) BNS.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner / 5th accused submits that the accused is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence. If he is enlarged on bail, he would furnish sufficient sureties. It is also his contention that originally, as

01.07.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Theni



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

per the complaint, only 3 persons were involved in the occurrence and subsequently, in order to make out the case under the offence of dacoity, two more persons including the petitioner / 5th accused have been added in the confession statement. Therefore, he contends that it is nothing but a false case foisted by the prosecution.

4. Today, the learned Public Prosecutor is absent. However, the respondent police has given a reply stating that the 5th accused is residing in Madurai and he has got certain previous cases pending against him. He further submits that if the accused is released on bail, there is every possibility of fleeing from justice and he will also interfere in the process of investigation.

5. This Court has considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the written reply filed by the respondent police. As per the case of the prosecution, on 09.06.2026 at about 21.00 hours, the petitioner and the de-facto complainant were travelling in a car. At that time, the other accused persons introduced themselves as CBI officers and robbed 1¾ sovereign gold chain, a laptop, two mobile phones and cash of Rs.2,63,000 from the de-facto complainant. Such being the case of the prosecution, it is noticed that as alleged by the respondent police, the accused is residing outside the jurisdiction of this court. Moreover, a cursory perusal of the reply given by the prosecution would go to show that the accused has previous cases in Crime Nos.76/2015, 106/2014 and 107/2011 on the file of Sedapatti Police Station and in Crime No.40/2021 on the file of Cheyyar Police Station, Thiruvannamalai District. It is further noticed that the investigation is at a nascent



scan the code using 'e Courts Services'
mobile application download from Play Store

3

Crl.M.P.No.1152/2026
P. C. Patti Police Station
Crime No : 358/2026

stage. Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the accused at this stage. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Given by me, this the 01st day of July – 2026.

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Theni.**

Copy to :-

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Theni.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Theni.
3. The Inspector of Police, P. C. Patti Police Station.
4. Counsels for the petitioner.