



IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, KARAIKUDI

PRESENT: THIRU. J.KARMEGAKANNAN, B.A., B.L.,

Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi

Tuesday, the 09th day of June 2026

Crl.MP.No.617 of 2026

In

C.C.No.629 of 2022

Crime No.149 of 2015

(On the file of Karaikudi Crime Police station)

CNR No.TNSV19 – 001 – 161 – 2026

Lakshmana @ Bhagavathi @ Stalin,

S/o.Kathamuthu

... Petitioner /Accused

/vs/

The Inspector of Police

Karaikudi Crime P.S

Cr.No.149/2015

... Respondent

On today, this petition coming for final hearing before me on 09.06.2026 in the presence of Advocate Mr.Arockia Richard for the Petitioner and Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for the Respondent. Upon perusing the records and having stood over for consideration, this court delivers the following

**ORDER**

1.The petitioner/accused has filed the present petition seeking bail. It is submitted that the petitioner was remanded to judicial custody pursuant to the execution of a Non-Bailable Warrant issued by this Court. The petitioner contends that he has no intention to abscond and that the absence which led to issuance of the Non-Bailable Warrant was neither wilful nor deliberate. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the investigation/trial and to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a permanent resident within the jurisdiction of this Court, has strong roots in society, and has family obligations to attend to. It is contended that continued incarceration of the petitioner is not necessary for the purpose of investigation or trial and that the presence of the petitioner can be secured by imposing appropriate and stringent conditions. The learned counsel therefore prays that the petitioner may be enlarged on bail in the interest of justice.

3. Per contra, the Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent police opposes the bail petition. It is submitted that the petitioner failed to appear before this Court on earlier occasions, which necessitated the issuance of a Non-Bailable Warrant. It is further submitted that there is a likelihood of the petitioner again absconding or not cooperating with the trial if released on bail. The Assistant Public Prosecutor therefore seeks dismissal of the bail petition.



4. This Court has carefully considered the submissions made on either side and perused the records.

5. This Court has carefully considered the submissions made on either side and perused the records. The fact that the petitioner was remanded to judicial custody pursuant to the execution of a Non-Bailable Warrant is not in dispute. At the same time, the materials placed before this Court do not indicate that the continued incarceration of the petitioner is absolutely necessary for the purpose of investigation or for securing his presence before this Court. The object of bail is to ensure the appearance of the accused during the course of proceedings and not to inflict punitive detention.

6. This Court is of the considered view that the apprehension expressed by the respondent police can be effectively addressed by imposing stringent conditions to ensure the presence and cooperation of the petitioner. The petitioner has expressed his willingness to comply with any condition imposed by this Court, including daily reporting before the respondent police and regular appearance before this Court.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegations, and the submissions made by both sides, this Court is satisfied that the petitioner can be enlarged on bail, subject to strict conditions, without causing prejudice to the prosecution.



8. Accordingly, the petitioner/accused is ordered to be released on bail with following conditions;-

I) The petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum, to the satisfaction of this court.

II) The petitioner shall appear before the respondent police twice a day, i.e., at about 10.00 a.m. in the morning and at about 05.00 p.m. in the evening, without fail, until further orders.

III) The petitioner shall appear before this Court on all hearing dates without fail unless prevented by a satisfactory reason, which shall be duly explained to the satisfaction of this Court.

IV) The petitioner shall not abscond or evade the process of law and shall not commit any offence of similar nature during the pendency of the proceedings.

V) The petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or influence, intimidate, or threaten the prosecution witnesses either directly or indirectly.

VI) The petitioner shall not leave the jurisdiction of this Court without prior permission of this Court.



VII) In the event of violation of any of the above conditions, the respondent police is at liberty to move appropriate application for cancellation of bail.

Order pronounced by me in the open court on the 09th day of June, 2026.

Judicial Magistrate,
Karaikudi.