

In the Court of the VI Additional District and Sessions Court, Madurai.

Present : Thiru.M.Udhayavelavan, B.L.,

VI Additional District and Sessions Judge, Madurai(FAC).

Wednesday, this the 19th day of August 2026.

CrI.M.P.No.3989/2026 in S.C.No.114/2019

CNR No.TNMD01-005649-2026

Ramesh
S/o.Mathiyazhagan

... Petitioner/Accused No.2

// Vs//

State by the Inspector of Police,
Koodal Pudur Police Station,
Madurai City.

Cr.No.720/2018

... Respondent/Complainant.

This petition is coming before this court today for final hearing in the presence of Thiru.R.Sasikumar, Advocate for the petitioner/accused and Thiru.D.Senthil Rajan, Additional Public Prosecutor and on hearing both sides and after perusing the records, and having stood over till this day for consideration, this court passed the following:

ORDER

1. The Petitioner/accused has filed the bail application under section 483 BNSS to enlarge him on bail.

2. The Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has been charged u/s.302, 201, 34 of IPC. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, joining hands with co-accused committed the murder of Navis Britto Lordhuraj.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that as the petitioner has been remanded in some other case, he has failed to appear before the court and he would abide by any condition to be imposed by this court.

Hence the petitioner prays for an order to enlarge him on bail.

4. Per contra, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor would object the petition stating that earlier the petitioner has failed to appear for the hearing of the case and after much effort only, the police is able to secure the accused and he will not appear for the hearings of the case, if he is released on bail. Hence the respondent prays for dismissal of the application.

5. To decide this case this court has to consider whether the petitioner could be released on bail at this stage of the case.

5. The accused has been charged for the offences under section 302 IPC. Admittedly the petitioner has failed to appear for hearing of the case and on execution of NBW only his presence has been secured. In this case, recording of evidence is commenced. Hence, at this stage of the case, the petitioner could not be released on bail. Hence, on considering the present stage of the case this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

In the result, this bail petition is dismissed.

Directly dictated to stenographer, typed by her in the computer, corrected and Pronounced by me in open court on the 19th day of August 2026.

VI Additional Sessions Judge(FAC),
Madurai.