



**IN THE COURT OF FAST TRACK, KARAIKUDI,SIVAGANGAI DISTRICT.**

**Present : Thiru.S.A.Vallimanalan,B.A.,B.L.,(Hons), L.L.M.,**

Judicial Magistrate,

Fast Track Court at Magisterial Level,

Karaikudi.

Dated at this Tuesday the 12<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2026

**Crl.M.P.No.86 of 2026**

**in**

**Calender Case.No.136 of 2024**

**CNR No. TNSV12 -000110 - 2026**

Parimalam, (50/2026)

S/o.Soosaimanikam,

No.2/219B,

Veerappa Nagar, Kalanivasal,

Karaikudi Taluk,

Sivagangai District.

..... Petitioner / Complainant

\vs/

Karthick (43/2026),

S/o.Palaniyappan,

D/No.2/1191, 8<sup>th</sup> Street,

Thanthai Periyar Colony,Bharma Colony,

Sekkalaikottai, Karaikudi Taluk,

Sivagangai District.

..... Respondent / Accused



This petition was taken on file before this court and numbered as Crl.M.P.No.86 of 2026 and came up for final hearing before me on 12.05.2026 in the presence of Thiru.T.Karthikeyan the learned counsel for the complainant and Tmt.C.Lavanya the learned counsel for the defence and upon hearing the arguments and perusing all the connected materials, having stood over for consideration till this day, this court do the following:

**ORDER**

1. Petition filed under section 348 BNSS for producing additional Complainant side Evidence.
2. The Petitioner/ Complainant is filling this petition Praying to order to allow this Petition.
3. By considering the submission of the party it is clear that the Complainant had made this petition to produce his side additional evidence. During the defence side evidence the Accused had claimed that he was in duty during the alleged date of transaction and not with the complainant. For which the complainant prays to bring the associate



employee of the Accused as a witness, to substantiate the availability of the Accused during the date of the transaction. The initial statutory presumption favours the Complainant and moreover the Complainant is coming forward to substantiate his claim. Disallowing this petition will cause prejudice to the Complainant. This Court also finds that the said document is relevant for the case. This Court satisfies to allow the Complainant to produce additional evidence. Therefore this Petition is allowed. Directed the Petitioner to produce the evidence on the next hearing itself without further adjournments.

Pronounced by me in the open court, this the 12<sup>th</sup> day of May -  
2026.

Judicial Magistrate,  
Fast Track Court at Magisterial level,  
Karaikudi.