

17 EX. CIVIL 25/24 Purushottam Mittal Vs. Rashmi Bansal
30.01.2026.

Present: **Mr. Kamal Garg, Ld. Counsel for the DH.**
Mr. Alok Kumar Jha, Ld. Counsel for the JD alongwith
Husband of JD.

Vide order dated 14.01.2026 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, the operation of the order dated 19.12.2025 passed by this Court was stayed subject to the deposit of sum of Rs. 5 lacs within a period of 7 days by Ms. Rashmi Bansal. Ld. Counsel for the JD Rashmi Bansal and her husband present into the Court also admit that this sum is not deposited. Report of warrants of attachment has also been received.

Further, objections on behalf of one Saurabh Jindal are also placed on record as filed for today but none has appeared for Saurabh Jindal. It is already 12:33 PM. Thus, **objections are dismissed in default and for non-prosecution.**

Objections on behalf of JD Rashmi Bansal are also filed. Copy is supplied. **Let reply to the same be filed after supplying advance copy to the opposite counsel against acknowledgment.**

Now, put up for arguments on objections of JD and for further proceedings for 13.03.2026.

(Aanchal)
District Judge-03, Rohini Courts
North District, Delhi
30.01.2026(amt)