

State of Haryana Vs VIRENDER SINGH

Present: APP for the State.

Accused on police bail with Sh. D.S.Rana, Advocate.

Final report under Section 193(3) of BNSS presented. It be checked and registered. Accused present in person alongwith his counsel. The IO concerned has submitted that the accused was not formally arrested in the present case and was released after joining them in the investigation following the guidelines of Hon'ble Apex Court in "Arnesh Kumar Vs. St. of Bihar".

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the accused has moved an application for surrender and bail of the said accused. Notice of the said application tendered upon the State through Ld. APP. Ld. APP recused from filing a formal reply and submitted to orally argue.

Arguments of the Ld. Counsel and Ld. APP heard. File perused. As the accused was released after being joined in investigation and as per the submission of the IO concerned that he has duly cooperated during the course of investigation. Further as the investigation is complete, therefore, there exist no dire circumstances to keep the accused behind bars. Therefore, following the guidelines of Hon'ble Apex Court in that bail is a rule and jail an exception, this court in its considered view admits the present accused to bail on his furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Requisite bonds furnished. Accepted and attested. Accused be released in this case.

Copy of final report under Section 193(3) of BNSS supplied to the accused free of costs as envisaged under Section 230 of BNSS. To this effect, a separate statement of accused has been recorded.

Arguments on charge heard. After perusing the case file, a prima facie case under Sections 106 and 281 of BNS has been made out against accused and he has been charged accordingly, to which he did not plead guilty and claimed trial.

Now, case is adjourned to **16.12.2026** for evidence of prosecution. Complainant as well as I.O. be summoned on priority basis for the date fixed.

The serving official concerned is directed to affect the service of the summons in person. The serving official is directed to effect the service of the summon in person and if, even after due efforts, the presence of the witness could not be secured by the serving official then service be

made through adult male member belonging to the family of the witness. The official concerned is directed to take the signatures of the person from whom the notice is served.

Accused is directed to appear before the Court on date fixed.

Date of Order: 05.06.2026
Monika, Stenographer G-II

(Ajitpal Singh)
Judicial Magistrate - Ist Class,
Hisar
UID No.HR0640