

**IN THE COURT OF THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE No.I, SIVAGANGAI**

**PRESENT : THIRU. P. SELVAM, B.Sc. B.L.,  
Judicial Magistrate No.I,  
Sivagangai**

**Tuesday, the 17<sup>th</sup> day of March 2026**

**CrI.MP.No.166/2026  
in  
Cr No.474/2023  
(on the file of Sivagangai Town Police Station)**

Syed Ibrahim, S/o.Mohammed Rabeek,

No.1444/C, Pillaivayal Kalamman kovil road,

Sivagangai.

... Petitioner/ Accused

Vs

State, thro'Inspector of Police,

Sivagangai Town P.S.

.. Respondent/Complainant

The petition came up for final hearing before me on 17.03.2026 in the presence of Thiru.RMK.Ramprabhakar, B.A.B.L., learned Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused and Assistant Public Prosecutor for the Respondent/Complainant, I made the following.

**ORDER**

The petitioner counsel submitted that the case has been registered against accused U/S.143, 283, 290 IPC. The occurrence took place on Sivagangai Railway station on 23.09.2023 at 09.00 hours. Thereafter, the F.I.R has been registered against accused No.1 to 188 in C.r.No.474/2023. The case has been pending for more than 4 years after lapsed of the limitation for taking cognizance. It is reflected that the offence u/s. 143 IPC is punishable with imprisonment for six months, the offence u/s. 283 IPC is punishable with

imprisonment for six month, the offence u/s. 290 IPC is punishable with fine only. According to the Section 468 (2) (b) Cr.P.C, the limitation for taking cognizance is “one year, if the offences is punishable with imprisonment for term not exceeding one year but not exceeding three years. Since, the offences registered against accused persons are punishable below one year, this court couldn’t take cognizance after over the limitation period. It is seen that the respondent police has not filed charge sheet within prescribed time as per sec.468 Cr.P.C. Accordingly, the respondent police has failed to file charge sheet after lapse of limitation. Moreover, the case is pending for more than 4 years in the FIR stage without any progress. There is no any purpose solve in the case beyond limitation.

In the above view, this court concluded that the FIR pending in C.r.No.474/2023 is hereby closed as limitation barred u/s.468 Cr.P.C.

In the result, the petition is allowed.

**Judicial Magistrate No.I,  
Sivagangai.**