

IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: Tmt. K.Arivoli., M.A., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.

Monday, the 06th day of July - 2026

Cr.M.P.No.1505/2026

(CNR No.TNSV01002335-2026)

1. Mutheesh @ Mutheeswaran, (Age 19) (A1) S/o.Kanthasamy,
Puthupatti, Sivagangai Taluk, Sivagangai District.
2. Kathirvel, (Age 19) (A3) S/o.Murugavel,
No.5/540, Asisi Nagar, Sakkanthi, Sivagangai Taluk, Sivagangai District.
3. Thandeeswaran @ Thandeeswarar, (Age 20) (A4), S/o.Rajendran,
No.32, Nowroji Street, Sivagangai Town, Sivagangai Taluk, Sivagangai District.

... Petitioners/Accused

.Vs.

The State rep. by

The Inspector of Police,

Sivagangai Town Crime Police Station.

(Cr.No.346/2026)

... Respondent / Complainant

The petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.R.Sundarapandian, Advocate for the Petitioners and of Thiru. Thiru.R.Balamuruganantham, Public Prosecutor for the State and after hearing the both side and perusing the petition, written reply and records, this Court delivers the following ...

ORDER:-

1. The petitioners, who are in judicial custody for the offence charged u/s.310(2) of B.N.S Act in Crime No.346/2026 of the respondent police, since 03.06.2026 are seeking for their release on bail u/s.483 of B.N.S.S.

.2.

2. According to the petitioners, the petitioners are ranked as A1, A3, A4 in the present case and there are totally eight accused in the crime number and as against them, allegations have been levelled by the *defacto* complainant alleging that the petitioners along with other accused have committed dacoity of Vivo Cell Phone, Two Wheeler and cash of Rs.500/- from the *defacto* complainant. According to the petitioners, this is the 3rd bail application and they are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the robbed properties have been recovered. The petitioners have given undertaking that they would never abscond nor tamper or hamper the witnesses and ready to abide by any condition imposed by the Court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioners pray for considering their bail application.

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that the investigation is still pending and the petitioners are involved in several previous cases including similar kind of offence. In the event, if the petitioners are released on bail, they would abscond and may not appear before the Court by abiding the condition. They may indulge in similar kind of offence and hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of bail application to the petitioners.


4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that this is the 3rd bail application filed by the petitioner and earlier bail applications were dismissed on the ground that the investigation was not completed and co-accused have not arrested. Now, considering the fact that the petitioners/A3, A4 are detained under Goondas Act and co-accused have not yet been arrested and also there is no change in

.3.

circumstances to consider this bail application and also the criminal antecedents of the petitioners, this Court is not inclined to consider the bail application of the petitioners.

In the result, ***the petition is dismissed.***

Given by me, this the 06th day of July – 2026.




S/d.K.Arivoli
Principal Sessions Judge,
Sivagangai.

/t.c.f.b.o./


Sherishtadar,
Principal District Court,
Sivagangai.

Copy to :-

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sivagangai.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Inspector of Police, Sivagangai Town Crime Police Station.
4. Counsel for the Petitioners.


6-7-2026

