

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: Tmt. K.Arivoli., M.A., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.

Saturday, the 04th day of July - 2026

Cr.M.P.No.1508/2026 in (CNR No.TNSV01002328-2026)

Cr.M.P.No.1521/2026 in (CNR No.TNSV01002352-2026)

Cr.M.P.No.1508/2026

1. Vikki @ Vignesh, (Age 25), (A1), S/o.Alagappan,
No.1047, Senbagampettai, Thiruppathur Taluk, Sivagangai District.
2. Ganesh @ Ganesan, (Age 27), (A2), S/o.Alagappan,
No.1047, Senbagampettai, Thiruppathur Taluk, Sivagangai District.
3. Alagappan, (Age 56), (A3), S/o.Chinnaiah,
No.1047, Senbagampettai, Thiruppathur Taluk, Sivagangai District.
4. Sathya, (Age 26), (A5), W/o.Ganesan,
No.1047, Senbagampettai, Thiruppathur Taluk, Sivagangai District.
5. Keerthika @ Keerthana, (Age 21), (A6), W/o.Vignesh,
No.1047, Senbagampettai, Thiruppathur Taluk, Sivagangai District.

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by

The Sub-Inspector of Police,

Keelasevalpatti Police Station,

(Cr.No.65/2026)

... Respondent / Complainant

CrL.M.P.No.1521/2026

Devi, (Age 33), W/o.Ganesh,

Sri Jeyla Nagar, Senpagampettai, Thiruppathur Taluk, Sivagangai District.

... Petitioner/Defacto complainant

Vs.

1. Vignesh @ Vikki

2. Ganesh

3. Alagappan

4. Kiruppakaran

5. Sathya

6. Keerthiga

... Accused persons

The State rep. by

The Sub-Inspector of Police,

Keelasevalpatti Police Station,

(Cr.No.65/2026)

... Respondent/Complainant

The petitions coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.G.Gunasekaran, Advocate for the Petitioners and of Thiru.K.Balachandran, Advocate for the Intervener and of Thiru.R.Balamuruganantham, Public Prosecutor for the State, after hearing both sides and perusing the written reply submitted by the respondent and records, this court delivers the following ...

COMMON-ORDER:-

1. The petitioners are apprehending arrest for the offences punishable u/s.191(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) of B.N.S Act and 4 of TNPWH Act and had filed this petition u/s.482 of B.N.S.S., seeking for their release on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest.

2. According to the petitioners, they are ranked as A1 to A3, A5, A6 in the present case and there are totally six accused in the crime number and as against them, allegations have been levelled by the *defacto* complainant alleging that the petitioners along with another accused are formed themselves into an unlawful assembly with deadly weapons and abused the *defacto* complainant, who is a woman with filthy language and assaulted her and her daughter by using stone and also threatened them with dire consequences and also harassed them. According to the petitioners, they are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the injured has already been discharged from the hospital. The

Petitioners had given undertaking that they would be ready to abide by any condition imposed by the Court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioners pray for considering them anticipatory bail to them.

3. Per contra, the learned counsel for the intervener has stated that the *defacto* complainant has sustained grievous injury in the incident and she is getting treatment in private hospital and the custodial interrogation of the petitioners are required in this case and in the event, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they may threaten the *defacto* complainant and her family members. Hence, prays for dismissal of the bail application of the petitioners.

4. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that investigation is still pending. In the event, if the petitioners are released on bail, they would abscond and may not appear before the Court by abiding the condition. Hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of bail application to the petitioners.

5. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that this is the case in counter and there is a wordy quarrel between the parties and the petitioners are ranked as A1 to A3, A5, A6 in the present case and the injured have already been discharged from the hospital and the petitioners are not involved in any previous case. Considering all these circumstances, this Court is inclined to consider the anticipatory bail of the petitioners with the following stringent conditions.

In the result, ***the anticipatory bail application is allowed*** and the petitioners are directed to surrender before the jurisdiction Magistrate (Principal District Munsif *cum* Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppathur) within 15 days from the date of receipt of the order

or in the event of their arrest and production before the jurisdiction Magistrate concerned, **they are ordered to be released** on bail on their executing bond for Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties each for like sum to the satisfaction of the Principal District Munsif *cum* Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppathur with a condition that the petitioners shall appear and sign before the Kundrakudi Police Station daily morning at 10.00 a.m., and shall sign for 15 days, and thereafter, shall appear on summons. *In the event, if the petitioners fails to surrender within 15 days from the date of order, the anticipatory bail granted automatically lapses.*

The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression and the Judicial Magistrate while considering the sureties, shall obtain the copy of their Aadhar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

The petitioners photograph and Aadhaar Card with bank pass book copy shall also be obtained while considering the sureties.

The Petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by the respondent police Officer as and when required for enquiry.

The Petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade from disclosing such facts to the court or to any Police Officer. That the petitioner shall not leave the State of Tamil Nadu without prior permission of the learned Judicial Magistrate. The Petitioners shall not commit further offences of similar nature and shall appear before the respondent police station as and when required.

The Petitioners shall co-operate for early disposal of the matter.

On breach of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate, before whom the case is pending is at liberty to initiate / take appropriate action against the

.5.
petitioner in accordance with law as laid down in the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State reported in AIR 2005 SCW 5506.

In the event, if the petitioners absconds, a fresh F.I.R shall be registered u/s.269 of B.N.S.


As far as the, *the intervening petition is concerned, the petition is dismissed.*

Given by me, this the 04th day of July - 2026.



S/d.K.Arivoli
Principal Sessions Judge,
Sivagangai.

/t.c.f.b.o./


Sherishtadar,
Principal District Court,
Sivagangai.

Copy to :-

1. The Principal District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppathur.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Keelasevalpatti Police Station.
4. The Inspector of Police, Kundrakudi Police station.
5. Counsels for the petitioners and Intervener.

Don: 4545

4.7.2026

