



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: Tmt. K.Arivoli., M.A., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.

Friday, the 03rd day of July - 2026

Cr.M.P.No.1510/2026

(CNR No.TNSV01002327-2026)

Maruthupandi, (Age 35), S/o.Aiyyanar,

No.1 North Street, Piramanoor, Thiruppuvanam Taluk, Sivagangai.

. . . Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State Rep. by

The Sub-Inspector of Police,

Thiruppuvanam Police station

(Cr.No.397/2026)

. . . Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.G.Karuppaiah, Advocate for the Petitioner and Thiru.R.Balamuruganantham, Public Prosecutor for the State, after hearing both sides and perusing the written reply submitted by the respondent and records, this court delivers the following . . .

ORDER:-

1. The petitioner is apprehending arrest for the offence punishable u/s.303(2) of B.N.S Act and had filed this petition u/s.482 of B.N.S.S., seeking for his release on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest.

2. According to the petitioner stating that petitioner is the sole accused in the crime number and as against him, allegations have been levelled by the *defacto* complainant alleging that the petitioner has committed theft of 9 Mould Plough by



.2.

using Tactor from the *defacto* complainant. According to the petitioner, he is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The Petitioner had given undertaking that he would be ready to abide by any condition imposed by the court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioner prays for considering his anticipatory bail to him.

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that investigation is still pending. In the event, if the petitioner is released on bail, he would abscond and may not appear before the court by abiding to the condition. He would also threaten the witnesses and complainant and would not face the trial and hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail application to the petitioner.

4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that this is the anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner and the petitioner is the sole accused in the present case and the investigation is in premature stage as the petitioner was absconded himself from 10.05.2026 and stolen property has not yet been recovered. Considering the above circumstances and also the alleged offence is in grave nature, this Court is not inclined to consider the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.




.3.

Crl.M.P.No.1510/2026

In the result, *the petition is dismissed.*

Given by me, this the 03rd day of July – 2026.



S/d.K.Arivoli
Principal Sessions Judge,
Sivagangai.

/t.c.f.b.o./

Sherishtadar,
Principal District Court,
Sivagangai.

3722076

Copy to :-

1. The District Munsif *cum* Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppuvanam.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Thiruppuvanam Police Station .
4. Counsel for the Petitioner.

DO: 4508

3.7.2026

