

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: Tmt.K.Arivoli, M.A.,M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.

Monday, the 06th day of July - 2026

Cr.M.P.No.1498/2026

(CNR No.TNSV01002307-2026)

Pandi, (Age 51), S/o.Ramasamy,
Door No.D28, Roja Street, New Housing Board,
Kalanivasal, Karaikudi, Karaikudi Taluk, Sivagangai District.

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Pallathur Police Station,
(Cr.No.114/2026)

... Respondent / Complainant

The petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.C.Chindhen, Advocate for the Petitioners and of Thiru.R.Balamuruganantham, Public Prosecutor for the State, after hearing both sides and perusing the written reply submitted by the respondent and records, this Court delivers the following :

ORDER:-

1. The petitioner is apprehending arrest for the offences punishable u/s.296(b) of B.N.S Act and 4 of TNPWH Act and 67 IT Act 2000 and had filed this petition u/s.482 of B.N.S.S., seeking for his release on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest.

2. According to the petitioner, he is the sole accused in the present case and as against him, allegations have been levelled by the *defacto* complainant alleging that the petitioner has morphed the photo of the *defacto* complainant and posted on Whatsapp and abused her and also criminally intimidated her. According to the petitioner, this is the 2nd anticipatory bail application and he is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The Petitioner had given undertaking that he would be ready to abide by any condition imposed by the court


and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioner prays for considering his anticipatory bail to him.

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that investigation is still pending. In the event, if the petitioner is released on bail, he would abscond and may not appear before the court by abiding to the condition. He would also threaten the witnesses and complainant and would not face the trial and hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail application to the petitioner.


4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that this is the 2nd anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner and earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed on the ground that the investigation was not completed as the petitioner was absconded himself from 16.06.2026. Now, considering the fact that that the investigation is still pending and also there is no change in circumstances to consider this anticipatory bail application, this Court is not inclined to consider the bail application of the petitioner.

In the result, ***the petition is dismissed.***

Given by me, this the 06th day of July – 2026.



/t.c.f.b.o./



Sherishtadar,
Principal District Court,
Sivagangai.

S/d.K.Arivoli
Principal Sessions Judge,
Sivagangai.

Copy to :-

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Pallathur Police Station.
4. Counsel for the petitioner.

06.07.2026


6.7.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai