

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: Tmt. K.Arivoli., M.A., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.

Monday, the 06th day of July - 2026

Cr.M.P.No.1499/2026

(CNR No.TNSV01002279-2026)

1. Radhakrishnan, (Age 29), (A1), S/o.Narayanamoorthy,
No.26/12, Nadarpettai Street, Thiruppuvanam Taluk, Sivagangai District.
2. Samayandi, (Age 26), (A2), S/o.Narayanamoorthy,
No.26/12, Nadarpettai Street, Thiruppuvanam Taluk, Sivagangai District.

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Thiruppuvanam Police Station,
(Cr.No.196/2026)

... Respondent / Complainant

This petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.G.Karuppaiah, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Thiru.R.Balamuruganantham, Public Prosecutor for the State, after hearing both sides and perusing the written reply submitted by the respondent and records, this court delivers the following. . .

ORDER:-

1. The petitioners are apprehending arrest for the offences punishable u/s.137(2) of B.N.S and had filed this petition u/s.482 of B.N.S.S., seeking for their release on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest.

2. According to the petitioners, they are ranked as A1, A2 and there are totally two accused in the present case and as against them, allegations have been levelled by the *defacto* complainant alleging that the petitioners have committed kidnapped the children of the defacto complainant. According to the petitioners, they are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution.

The Petitioners had given undertaking that they would be ready to abide by any

condition imposed by the Court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioners pray for considering them anticipatory bail to them.

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that investigation is still pending. In the event, if the petitioners are released on bail, they would abscond and may not appear before the Court by abiding the condition. They may threaten the defacto complainant and his family members and hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of bail application to the petitioners.

4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that the anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioners and they have alleged to have kidnapped the children of the defacto complainant and the investigation is in premature stage as the petitioners have absconded themselves from 16.04.2026. Considering the fact that the alleged offence is in grave nature, this Court is not inclined to consider the bail application of the petitioners.

In the result, ***this petition is dismissed.***

Given by me, this the 06th day of July – 2026.



S/d.K.Arivoli
Principal Sessions Judge,
Sivagangai.

/t.c.f.b.o./



Sherishtadar,
Principal District Court,
Sivagangai.

Copy to :

1. The District Munsif *cum* Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppuvanam.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Thiruppuvanam Police Station.
4. Counsel for the petitioners.

06.07.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.


D.No. 4623
6.7.2026