



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: **Thiru.V.Ramalingam., B.Sc., B.L., L.L.M.,**

Sessions Judge, Fast Track Mahila Court, Sivagangai.

Principal Sessions Judge (FAC), Sivagangai.

Wednesday, the 17th day of June - 2026

Cr.M.P.No.1315/2026

(CNR No.TNSV01002078-2026)

Nagamuniswaran, S/o.Ravi,

Rameswaram.

. . . Petitioner/Accused

.Vs.

The State rep. by

The Inspector of Police,

Ilayangudi Police Station.

(Cr.No.165/2026)

. . . Respondent / Complainant

The petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.S.Valmekanathan, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Thiru.A.Alagarsamy, Public Prosecutor for the State and after hearing the both side and perusing the petition, written reply and records, this Court delivers the following . . .

ORDER:-

1. The petitioner, who is in judicial custody for the offences charged u/s.191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 109(1), 118(1), 311, 351(3) of B.N.S Act and 4 of TNPWH Act @ 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 109(1), 118(1), 311, 317(2), 351(3) of B.N.S Act and 4 of TNPWH Act in Crime No.165/2026 of the respondent police, since 08.06.2026 is seeking for his release on bail u/s.483 of B.N.S.S.



2. According to the petitioner, the petitioner is the 3rd accused in the present case and there are totally nineteen accused in the crime number and as against him, allegations have been levelled by the *defacto* complainant alleging that the petitioner along with other accused are formed themselves into an unlawful assembly with deadly weapons and committed riot and abused them with filthy language and assaulted them by using stone and sickle with an intention to murder and committed dacoity of 31 ^{1/2} sovereigns of Gold chain also threatened them with dire consequences and also harassed her. According to the petitioner, he is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and the injured has already been discharged from the hospital and co-accused have already been released on bail by the Hon'ble High Court as well as by this Court. The petitioner has given undertaking that he would never abscond nor tamper or hamper the witnesses and ready to abide by any condition imposed by the Court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioner prays for considering his bail application.

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that the investigation is still pending and the petitioner is involved in several previous cases. In the event, if the petitioners are released on bail, they would abscond and may not appear before the Court by abiding the condition. He may indulge in similar kind of offence in future and hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of bail application to the petitioner.

4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that there is a dispute between the parties in respect of the temple dispute and the petitioner is in



.3.

Crl.M.P.No.1315/2026

judicial custody from 08.06.2026 and the injured have already been discharged from the hospital and co-accused A14, A15 have already been released on bail by the Hon'ble High Court in Crl.OP(MD)Nos.10199/2026 and 10197/2026, dated:05.06.2026 and other co-accused have already been released on bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.Nos.1191/2026, 1224/2026, 1226/2026, 1227/2026, 1228/2026, 1263/2026, dated:10.06.2026 and Crl.M.P.1292/2026, dated:11.06.2026. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor has strongly opposed the petition stating that the petitioner is the prime accused in the present case and the petitioner is involved in several previous cases and also he is a history sheeter and in the event, if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he may abscond and also may indulge in similar kind of offence in future. Considering the above circumstances and also the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to consider the bail application of the petitioner.

In the result, ***the petition is dismissed.***

Given by me, this the 17th day of June – 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,(FAC)
Sivagangai.

Copy to :

1. The District Munsif *cum* Judicial Magistrate, Ilayangudi.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Inspector of Police, Ilayangudi Police Station.
4. Counsel for the Petitioner.

17.06.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai