



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: **Thiru. R.Gokul Murugan, B.A., B.L., L.L.M.,**

Sessions Judge, Fast Track Mahila Court, Sivagangai.

Principal Sessions Judge (FAC), Sivagangai.

Friday, the 13th day of March - 2026

Cr.M.P.No.537/2026

(CNR No.TNSV01000905-2026)

Vijay, (Age 22), S/o.Vellaikannu @ Ravi,
Chelliampatti, Singampunari Taluk, Sivagangai District.

. . . Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Singampunari Police station.
(Cr.No.22/2026)

. . . Respondent/Complainant

The petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Prabakaran, Advocate for the petitioner and of Thiru.A.Alagarsamy, Public Prosecutor for the State and after hearing the both side and perusing the petition, written reply and records, this Court delivers the following . . .

ORDER:-

1. The petitioner, who is in judicial custody for the offences charged u/s.296(b), 118(1), 76, 351(3) of B.N.S Act in Crime No.22/2026 of the respondent police since 27.01.2026 is seeking for his release on bail u/s.483 of B.N.S.S.

2. According to the petitioner, this is the 2nd bail application filed by the petitioner and he is the sole accused in the crime number and he is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and there is a love dispute between the parties, so he has falsely implicated in this case. Further, the injured has already been discharged from the hospital. The Petitioner had given undertaking that he ready to abide by any condition imposed by the Court and willing to produce



sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioner prays for considering his bail application.

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that the investigation is still pending and the petitioner is involved in two previous cases including POCSO cases. In the event, if the petitioner is released on bail, he would abscond and may not appear before the court by abiding the condition. He would indulge in similar offences and hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of bail application to the petitioner.

4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that the petitioner has used criminal force against the *defacto* complainant, who is a woman and pulled her shirt with an intention to disrobe her and thereafter, he abused her with filthy language and assaulted her by using iron pipe and also threatened her with dire consequences and this is the 2nd bail application of the petitioner and earlier bail application was dismissed on the ground the investigation was not completed. Considering the fact that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 27.01.2026 and the injured has already been discharged from the hospital and the investigation has been almost completed. Considering the above change in circumstances and also the period of incarceration suffered by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to consider the bail of the petitioner with the following conditions.

In the result, ***the petition is allowed*** and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each of like sum to the satisfaction of the District Munsif *cum* Judicial Magistrate, Singampunari with a condition that the petitioner shall appear and sign before the Respondent Police



.3.

Cr.MP.No.537/2026

Station daily morning at 10.00 a.m., and shall sign for 30 days and thereafter, shall appear on summons.

The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression and the Judicial Magistrate while considering the sureties, shall obtain the copy of their Aadhar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

The petitioner's photograph and Aadhaar Card or bank pass book copy shall also be obtained while considering the sureties.

The Petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by the respondent police Officer as and when required for enquiry.

The Petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade from disclosing such facts to the court or to any Police Officer. That the petitioner shall not leave the State of Tamil Nadu without prior permission of the learned Judicial Magistrate. The Petitioner shall not commit further offences of similar nature and shall appear before the respondent police station as and when required.

The Petitioner shall co-operate for early disposal of the matter.

On breach of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate, before whom the case is pending is at liberty to initiate / take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as laid down in the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State reported in AIR 2005 SCW 5506.



.4.

Cr.MP.No.537/2026

In the event, if the petitioner absconds, a fresh F.I.R shall be registered u/s.269 of B.N.S.

Given by me, this the 13th day of March – 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,(FAC)
Sivagangai.

Copy to :

1. The District Munsif *cum* Judicial Magistrate, Singampunari.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Inspector of Police, Singampunari Police Station.
4. Counsel for the Petitioner.