



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: Tmt.K.Arivoli., M.A., M.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.

Friday, the 27th day of March - 2026

Cr.M.P.No.529/2026

(CNR No.TNSV01000884 -2026)

Krishnamoorthy, (Age 35), S/o.Soman,
34A, Kovanoor, Sivagangai District.

. . . Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Karaikudi North Police station
(Cr.No.525/2024)

. . . Respondent/Complainant

This petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Ramprabhakar, Advocate for the Petitioner and Thiru.A.Alagarsamy, Public Prosecutor for the State, after hearing both sides and perusing the written reply submitted by the respondent and records, this court delivers the following . . .

ORDER:-

1. The petitioner is apprehending arrest for the offence punishable u/s.309(6) of B.N.S Act and had filed this petition u/s.482 of B.N.S.S., seeking for his release on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest.

2. According to the petitioner, he is the 1st accused in the crime and there are totally four accused in the present case and as against him, allegations have been levelled by the *defacto* complainant alleging that the petitioner along with other have committed robbery of Rs.25,00,000/- and two wheeler bearing registration No.TN 63 CX 1276. According to the petitioner, he is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The Petitioner had given undertaking that he would be ready to abide by any condition imposed by the court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate.



Hence, the Petitioner prays for considering his anticipatory bail to him.

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that investigation is still pending and the petitioner is involved in four previous case. In the event, if the petitioner is released on bail, he would abscond and may not appear before the court by abiding to the condition. He would also threaten the witnesses and complainant and would not face the trial and hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail application to the petitioner.

4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that that this is the 4th anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner and earlier anticipatory bail applications were dismissed on the ground that the investigation was not completed and the petitioner was involved in four previous case. Now, the learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the investigation has been completed and charge-sheet alone yet to be filed and co-accused have already been released on bail. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor has strongly contended that the petitioner/A1 is the prime accused of this case and the investigation is still pending as the petitioner has absconded himself from 22.12.2024 and he is involved in four previous case and in the event, if the petitioner is enlarged on anticipatory bail, he may indulge in similar kind of offence in future.

5. Considering the serious objections raised by the learned Public Prosecutor and also there is no change in circumstances to consider this bail application, this Court is not inclined to consider the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.



.3.

CrI.M.P.No.529/2026

In the result, *the petition is dismissed.*

Given by me, this the 27th day of March - 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Sivagangai.

Copy to :-

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Inspector of Police, Karaikudi North Police Station .
4. Counsel for the Petitioner.