



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: **Tmt.K.Arivoli, M.A.,M.L.,**

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.

Thursday, the 12th day of March - 2026

Cr.M.P.No.527/2026

(CNR No.TNSV01000882-2026)

Siva, (Age 25), S/o.Sankar,
Kamarajar Colony, Thiruppuvanam Taluk, Sivagangai.

. . . Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppachethi Police Station,
(Cr.No.4/2026)

. . . Respondent / Complainant

This petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.G.Karuppaiah, Advocate for the Petitioner and Thiru.A.Alagarsamy, Public Prosecutor for the State, after hearing both sides and perusing the written reply submitted by the respondent and records, this Court delivers the following . . .

ORDER:-

1. The petitioner is apprehending arrest for the offence punishable u/s.303(2) of B.N.S Act and had filed this petition u/s.482 of B.N.S.S., seeking for his release on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest.

2. According to the petitioner, he is the 1st accused in the crime and there are totally two accused in the present case and as against him, allegations have been levelled by the *defacto* complainant alleging that the petitioner along with another accused have attempted to commit theft of Hero Splender Plus bearing No.TN 63 AC 5594 of the *defacto* complainant. According to the petitioner, he is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The Petitioner had given undertaking that he would be ready to abide by any condition imposed by the court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial



Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioner prays for considering his anticipatory bail to him.

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that investigation is still pending. In the event, if the petitioner is released on bail, he would abscond and may not appear before the Court by abiding the condition. He would indulge in similar offences and hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of bail application to the petitioner.

4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that this is the anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner and the learned Public Prosecutor opposed the petition stating that the investigation is in premature stage as the petitioner has absconding himself from 12.01.2026 and in the event, if the petitioner is released on bail, he may abscond and also may not co-operate for investigation. Considering the above circumstances and also the alleged offence is in grave nature, this Court is not inclined to consider the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

In the result, ***the petition is dismissed.***

Given by me, this the 12th day of March - 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Sivagangai.

Copy to :-

1. The District Munsif *cum* Judicial Magistrate, Thiruppuvanam.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Inspector of Police, Thiruppachethi Police Station.
4. Counsel for the petitioner.