



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: **Tmt. K.Arivoli., M.A., M.L.,**

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.

Wednesday, the 18th day of March - 2026

Cr.M.P.No.514/2026

(CNR No.TNSV01000871-2026)

1. Jeya Subbu, (Age 24), S/o.Muthuganesan,
No.208, Majith Road, Sivagangai.
2. Alaguvignesh, (Age 21) S/o.Kumar,
No.48/22, Thiruvallur Street, Sivagangai.

. . . Petitioners/Accused

.Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Sivagangai Town Police Station.
(Cr.No.621/2025)

. . . Respondent / Complainant

The petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.S.Valmekanathan, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Thiru.A.Alagarsamy, Public Prosecutor for the State and after hearing the both side and perusing the petition, written reply and records, this Court delivers the following . . .

ORDER:-

1. The petitioners, who are in judicial custody for the offences charged u/s.191(2), 191(3), 109, 103 of B.N.S Act @ 191(2), 191(3), 109, 103, 61(2), 49 of B.N.S Act in Crime No.621/2025 of the respondent police, since 07.03.2026 is seeking for their release on bail u/s.483 of B.N.S.S.

2. According to the petitioners, the petitioners are the rank not known accused in the crime number and there are totally twelve accused in the present case and as against them, allegations have been levelled by the *defacto* complainant alleging that the petitioners along with other accused are formed themselves into an unlawful assembly with deadly weapons and committed riot and committed murder of the deceased. Further, submitted that they are innocent and they have not committed any



offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioners have given undertaking that they would never abscond nor tamper or hamper the witnesses and ready to abide by any condition imposed by the Court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioners pray for considering their bail application.

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that the investigation is still pending and in the event, if the petitioners are released on bail, they would abscond and may not appear before the Court by abiding the condition. They may threaten the *defacto* complainant and his family members and hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of bail application to the petitioners.

4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that the petitioners are in judicial custody only from 07.03.2026 and co-accused A8, A10 have already been released on bail by the Hon'ble High Court in Crl.OP(MD)No.23409/2025, dated:20.01.2026 and Crl.OP(MD)No.369/2026, dated:19.01.2026 and another co-accused has already been released on bail by this Court. Per contra, the learned Public Prosecutor has strongly opposed the petition stating that the investigation is still pending in respect of the petitioners and the petitioner is involved in six previous cases and in the event, if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he may abscond and also may not co-operate for investigation. Considering the serious objections raised and also the criminal antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to consider the bail application of the petitioner.



.3.

CrI.M.P.No.514/2026

In the result, *the petition is dismissed.*

Given by me, this the 18th day of March – 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Sivagangai.

Copy to :

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sivagangai.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Inspector of Police, Sivagangai Town Police Station.
4. Counsel for the Petitioner.

18.03.2026

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.