



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: **Tmt.K.Arivoli., M.A., M.L.,**

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.

Tuesday, the 17th day of March - 2026

Cr.M.P.No.506/2026

(CNR No.TNSV01000863-2026)

Rengasamy, (A3) (Age 20) S/o.Sekar,
No.88, Madakottai, Devakottai Taluk, Sivagangai District.

. . . Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Devakottai Taluk Police Station,
(Cr.No.17/2026)

. . . Respondent / Complainant

The petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.I.Muniandi, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Thiru.A.Alagarsamy, Public Prosecutor for the State, after hearing both sides and perusing the written reply submitted by the respondent and records, this court delivers the following . . .

ORDER:-

1. The petitioner, who is in judicial custody for the offences charged u/s.109(1) of B.N.S Act @ 296(b), 103(1) of B.N.S Act in Crime No.17/2026 of the respondent police since 21.01.2026 is seeking for his release on bail u/s.483 of B.N.S.S.

2. According to the petitioner, the petitioner is the 3rd accused in the crime number and there are totally three accused in the present case and this is the 2nd bail application filed by the petitioner. According to the petitioner, he is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner has given undertaking that he would never abscond nor tamper or hamper the witnesses and ready to abide by any condition imposed by the court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioner prays for considering his bail application.



.2.

Cri.M.P.No.506/2026

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that investigation is still pending and the petitioner is involved in several previous cases. In the event, if the petitioner is released on bail, he would abscond and may not appear before the Court by abiding the condition. Hence, the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of bail application to the petitioner.

4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that this the 2nd bail application filed by the petitioner and earlier bail application was dismissed on the ground that the investigation was not completed and the petitioner was detained under Goondas Act. Considering the fact that the investigation is still pending and also the petitioner is detained under Goondas Act and there is no change in circumstances to consider this bail application, this Court is not inclined to consider the bail application of the petitioner.

In the result, *the petition is dismissed.*

Given by me, this the 17th day of March – 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Sivagangai.

Copy to :

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Devakottai.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Inspector of Police, Devakottai Taluk Police Station.
4. Counsel for the Petitioners.