



IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SIVAGANGAI.

PRESENT: **Tmt.K.Arivoli, M.A.,M.L.,**

Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai.

Tuesday, the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March - 2026

**Cr.M.P.No.501/2026**

*(CNR No. TNSV01000848-2026)*

Sankar, (Age 36), S/o.Mayakrishnan,  
Vilankulam Village, Kannamangalam Post, Ilayangudi Taluk, Sivagangai District.  
... Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

The State rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Ilayangudi Police Station,  
(Cr.No.66/2026)

... Respondent / Complainant

The petition coming on this day for final hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.G.Vairakannan, Advocate for the Petitioners and of Thiru.A.Alagarsamy, Public Prosecutor for the State, after hearing both sides and perusing the written reply submitted by the respondent and records, this Court delivers the following :

**ORDER:-**

1. The petitioner is apprehending arrest for the offences punishable u/s.308(1), 351(2) of B.N.S Act and 67 IT Act 2000 and had filed this petition u/s.482 of B.N.S.S., seeking for his release on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest.

2. According to the petitioner, he is the sole accused in the present case and as against him, allegations have been levelled by the *defacto* complainant alleging that the petitioner has threatened the *defacto* complainant through phone and demanded a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- by putting him in fear of death. According to the petitioner, he is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The Petitioner had given undertaking that he would be ready to abide by any condition imposed by the court and willing to produce sufficient solvent sureties to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate. Hence, the Petitioner prays for considering his



anticipatory bail to him.

3. The respondent filed his detailed objections in writing and the learned Public Prosecutor had submitted that investigation is still pending. In the event, if the petitioner is released on bail, he would abscond and may not appear before the court by abiding to the condition. He would also threaten the witnesses and complainant and would not face the trial and hence the Public Prosecutor strongly opposed the grant of anticipatory bail application to the petitioner.

4. By considering the submissions of the parties and the fact that this is the anticipatory bail application filed by the petitioner and the petitioner is the sole accused in the present case and the petitioner has threatened the *defacto* complainant through phone and demanded a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- by putting him in fear of death and the investigation is in premature stage as the petitioner has absconded himself from 28.02.2026. Considering the above circumstances and also the alleged offences are in grave nature, this Court is not inclined to consider the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

In the result, ***the petition is dismissed.***

Given by me, this the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March – 2026.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Sivagangai.

**Copy to :-**

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Ilayangudi.
2. The Public Prosecutor, Sivagangai.
3. The Inspector of Police, Ilayangudi Police Station.
4. Counsel for the petitioner.